

Minutes
Family Support Magistrate Rules Subcommittee
February 2, 2009

The Family Support Magistrate Rules Subcommittee met in room 607L at the Middlesex Judicial District Courthouse located at 1 Court Street, Middletown, CT on February 2, 2009.

Those in attendance: Hon. Bethany Alvord, Hon. Sandra Sosnoff Baird (Co-Chair), Paul Bourdoulous, David Iaccarino, David Mulligan, Hon. Lynda Munro (Co-Chair), Hon. Joseph Pellegrino, Lauren Vitale, Hon. Linda Wihbey, Lucia Ziobro.

Also in attendance were Attorney Joseph Del Ciampo and Johanna Greenfield.

The meeting was called to order at 2:04 PM by Judge Munro.

1. Review and Approval of Minutes

The minutes of the meeting of the subcommittee held on January 12, 2009 were approved unanimously by the members.

2. Continue Rule Consideration

Judge Munro reported to the Subcommittee on her discussions with the Family Commission regarding Rule 25-26 and the recommendations thereon. She indicated that generally the Commission was receptive to the recommended changes by the Subcommittee.

Attorney Ziobro presented her concerns regarding the standard interrogatories which she will send to Attorney Grossman and they will be discussed at the next meeting.

Attorney Ziobro also expressed concern regarding the rule 13-28 recommendations and it was unanimously decided to add back in subsections (d) and (e) of that section for Family Magistrate court after reconsideration by the Subcommittee.

Picking up where the Subcommittee left off at the last meeting as to which rules should apply to Family Support Magistrate court:

13-29(b) Yes (unanimous) with language revisions for Family Support Magistrate matters.

(c) Yes (unanimous) with language revisions for Family Support Magistrate matters.

(d) Yes (unanimous).

(e) No (unanimous).

(f) Yes (unanimous).

13-30 Yes (unanimous) with commentary added.

13-31 Yes (unanimous).

13-32 Yes (unanimous).

The group then returned to Chapter 25:

25-31 As per decisions in Chapter 13 (unanimous).

25-32 Yes (unanimous) with language changes to suggest to the Commission prior to making the specific recommendation.

25-33 Yes (unanimous).

25-34 No (unanimous), however, portions of this rule will be considered when discussing 25-65.

25-35 No (unanimous).

25-36 No (unanimous).

25-37 No (unanimous).

25-38 Johanna Greenfield will check on whether the Family Magistrate case types require a judgment file.

25-39 Yes (unanimous).

25-40 No (unanimous).

25-41 No (unanimous).

25-42 No (unanimous).

25-43 No (unanimous).

25-44 No (unanimous).

25-45 No (unanimous).

25-46 No (unanimous).

25-47 No (unanimous).
25-48 Yes (unanimous).
25-49 No (unanimous).
25-50 No (unanimous).
25-51 No (unanimous).
25-52 No (unanimous).
25-53 No (unanimous).
25-54 Yes (unanimous).
25-55 No (unanimous).
25-56 No (unanimous).
25-57 No (unanimous).
25-58 No (unanimous).
25-59 Yes (unanimous).
25-59A Yes (unanimous).
25-60 No (unanimous).
25-61 Yes (unanimous) with substantial revision.
25-62 Yes (unanimous) with language changes reflecting the current state of the law for payment.
25-63 (a) Yes (unanimous).
(b) Yes (unanimous).
(c) Judge Munro will talk to Carolyn Signorelli about this section.
25-64 Yes (unanimous).

3. Next Meeting

The next meeting will be on March 2, 2009 at the same location. Judge Munro will not be present at that meeting. Outstanding topics include interrogatories, finishing Chapter 25, reviewing the General

Provisions of the Practice Book, and items for which there is currently no rule that the Subcommittee would recommend.

Judge Munro adjourned the meeting at 4:15 p.m.