On Wednesday, January 30, 2019, the Code of Evidence Oversight Committee of the Supreme Court met in the Supreme Court courtroom from 2:02 p.m. to 3:23 p.m.

Members in attendance were:

Hon. Raheem L. Mullins, Chairperson

Hon. Steven D. Ecker

Hon. Barbara B. Jongbloed

Hon. Lisa K. Morgan

Hon. Eliot D. Prescott

Hon. Michael R. Sheldon

Attorney Robert B. Adelman Attorney Joseph G. Bruckmann

Attorney Michael Gailor

Attorney John R. Gulash

Attorney Kimberly Massicotte

Attorney Jack G. Steigelfest

Attorney Lawrence J. Tytla

Attorney Eric W. Wiechmann

Also participating were Professor Julia Simon-Kerr of the University of Connecticut Law School and academic advisor to the Committee, Attorney Jean Cummings, Assistant Reporter of Judicial Decisions, Attorney Matthew Berardino, Counsel, Judicial Legal Services, and Attorney Lori Petruzzelli, Counsel to the Code of Evidence Oversight Committee of the Supreme Court.

- 1. The Honorable Raheem L. Mullins called the meeting to order at 2:02 p.m. Justice Mullins acknowledged Judge Sheldon and expressed the Committee's appreciation for his approximately twenty years of dedicated service on the Evidence Committee.
- 2. The Committee considered proposals by Attorney John Tower regarding the authentication of business records and the authentication of public records by certification. Justice Ecker reported on the subcommittee's recommendations regarding amending the commentaries to Sections 9-1 (b) and 9-3. Thereafter, Judge Prescott reported on the subcommittee's recommendations regarding amending the commentary to Section 1-3 (a). Counsel reported on a fifty state survey on authentication of business records by certification.

After discussion, the Committee voted to submit the commentary to Sec. 9-1 (b) to the Supreme Court for consideration, in the form attached hereto as Appendix A. Attorneys Bruckmann, Gulash and Professor Simon-Kerr voted against the proposal.

3. Thereafter, the Committee considered a proposal to amend the commentary to Section

9-3.

After discussion, the Committee unanimously voted to submit the commentary to Section 9-3 to the Supreme Court for consideration, in the form attached hereto as Appendix B.

- 4. The Committee considered a proposal by the subcommittee to amend the commentary to Section 1-3. After discussion, the Committee tabled the matter for further study. Justice Mullins appointed a subcommittee to make recommendations to clarify the process by which certain records can be authenticated by affidavit under Section 1-1. Justice Ecker, Judge Prescott, Judge Morgan, Attorney Gailor and Attorney Massicotte were appointed to the subcommittee.
  - 5. The meeting was adjourned at 3:23 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Lori Petruzzelli

Counsel to the Code of Evidence Oversight

Committee of the Supreme Court

Don Petruggell-