

Rules Committee Meeting
Monday, September 19, 2011 at 2:00 p.m.
Supreme Court Building
Supreme Court Courtroom
Agenda

- 1-1. Approval of the minutes of the meeting held on May 31, 2011.
- 1-2. Recommendation by the Legal Specialization Screening Committee that the American Board of Certification be approved for recertification as a certifier in the specialty areas of consumer bankruptcy law and business bankruptcy law.
- 1-3. Letters from Attorney Franklin Drazen, Director of the Connecticut Chapter of Elder Law Attorneys, and Lori Barbee, Executive Director of the National Elder law Foundation, to amend Rule 7.4A(d) of the Rules of Professional Conduct to include “Elder Law” as a field of law in which attorneys may be certified as specialists in this state; letters from various other attorneys and entities.
- 1-4. Proposal by Judge Robert Holzberg to amend Rule 2.2 (4) of the Code of Judicial Conduct by replacing “pro se” with “self represented”; draft submitted by Attorney Denise Poncini at the Rules Committee’s request showing all Practice Book provisions containing the term “pro se” and substituting the term “self represented.”
- 1-5. A discussion by the Rules Committee concerning standing orders that have been included in the Practice Book.
- 1-6. Proposal by Judge Robert J. Devlin, Jr., Chief Administrative Judge of the Criminal Division, to amend the rules to provide more protection of juror privacy; letter from Judges Linda K. Lager and Frank M. D’Addabbo, Jr., Co-chairs of the Jury Committee, concerning the proposal.
- 1-7. Proposal by Attorney Margaret R. George, Caseflow Management Specialist, to amend Section 2-53 concerning the fee for applications for reinstatement or readmission to the bar.
- 1-8. Letter from Ms. Susie Lockwood with regard to the rules concerning admission to the bar in Connecticut.
- 1-9. Memo from Judge Heidi G. Winslow commenting on the proposed revisions to the family rules; response from Judge Lynda Munro, Chief Administrative Judge of Family Matters, concerning Judge Winslow’s comments.
- 1-10. Proposal by Attorney Daniel B. Horwitch to amend Sections 25-5 and 25-5A concerning automatic orders in family matters by using language in bold with underlines in place of uppercase language in those rules; memo prepared by Attorney Joseph Del Ciampo at the Rules Committee’s request concerning this matter.
- 1-11. E-Mail from Judge Christine Keller, Chief Administrative Judge for Family Matters, concerning revisions to the family rules to reflect a recently enacted budget bill that

eliminates the Commission on Child Protection.

- 1-12. Proposal by Assistant Attorney General Lawrence G. Widem to amend Sections 13-6 and 13-9 to create standard interrogatories and requests for production that can be served upon an intervening workers' compensation lien holder after the plaintiff has produced an appropriate medical records release.
- 1-13. Comments by Attorney David B. Sweet concerning recent changes to Rule 1.15 of the Rules of Professional Conduct and to Section 2-27 concerning clients' funds and attorney registration.
- 1-14. Proposal by Judge Lynda Munro to amend Section 25-60A, which was recently adopted by the judges.
- 1-15. Comment by Judge Jon Alander concerning an inconsistency between the recently adopted change to Section 13-33 and the commentary to that section.
- 1-16. Proposal by Judge Barbara Quinn, Chief Court Administrator, to amend Section 3-8 concerning appearances for represented parties.
- 1-17. Proposal by Judge Keller to amend Section 3-4 (c) to provide for appearances being filed in lieu of other attorneys in juvenile matters.
- 1-18. Proposal submitted by Statewide Bar Counsel Michael Bowler, on behalf of the Statewide Grievance Committee, to amend Section 2-55 concerning attorney retirement.
- 1-19. Proposals by Statewide Bar Counsel Michael Bowler and Chief Disciplinary Counsel Patricia King to amend Sections 2-32, 2-34A and 2-35 concerning the attorney grievance process.
- 1-20. Issue raised by a legislator with Judge Carroll concerning whether attorneys can by rule be required to disclose annually whether they carry professional liability insurance.
- 1-21. Proposal by the Client Security Fund Committee to amend Sections 2-71 and 2-75 concerning reimbursements from the fund.
- 1-22. Proposal by Attorney Daniel B. Horwitch to amend Section 17-14A in light of P.A. 11-77 concerning offers of compromise.
- 1-23. Proposal by Attorney Joanne S. Faulkner concerning Sections 9-23 and 10-72 with regard to debt collection suits.
- 1-24. Approval of a Rules Committee meeting schedule.
- 1-25. Request from Justice Christine S. Vertefeuille for feedback from the Rules Committee concerning a proposal by the Appellate Advocacy Committee of the CBA to amend Section 61-10 concerning articulations for purpose of appeal; letter from Judge Howard

T. Owens, Jr., suggesting an amendment to the rules concerning articulation. (Judge Owens' proposal was forwarded by the Rules Committee to the Appellate Advisory Committee at a prior meeting.)

- 1-26. Proposals by Judge William M. Bright, Jr. concerning Part B information that may be received by a trial judge and concerning authorized house counsel. (Judge Bright will discuss this at the meeting; there are no materials concerning this.)
- 1-27. Such other matters that may come before the Rules Committee.