STATE OF CONNECTICUT

JUDICIAL BRANCH

EMERGENCY MEETING OF THE RULES COMMITTEE

HELD VIA TELEPHONE CONFERENCE

MAY 11, 2020

Present Committee Members:

The Honorable Andrew McDonald, Chair
The Honorable Holly Abery-Wetstone
The Honorable Joan Alexander
The Honorable Barbara Bellis
The Honorable Susan Quinn Cobb
The Honorable Melanie Cradle
The Honorable Donna Nelson Heller
The Honorable Barry Stevens
The Honorable Anthony Truglia

Also Present:

Attorney Joseph Del Ciampo Attorney James O'Connor Attorney Lori Petruzzelli Attorney Shanna O'Donnell Melissa Farley Alison M. Chandler Dean Timothy Fisher Dean Brad Saxton Anne C. Dranginis (Retired Judge) Denise Phelan Matthew Wax-Krell The Honorable Nina Elgo Deborah Bradley David Moraghan Frederic Ury Kathleen B. Harrington Jessica F. Kallipolites Lisa Valko

Transcribed by:
Amy Anderson,
Official Court Reporter

JUSTICE McDONALD: Okay. This is Justice

McDonald. The first thing I would ask everybody to
do is please put your phone on mute if you are not
speaking. That's the only way this is going to
possibly work.

I appreciate everybody participating and I will

I appreciate everybody participating and I will call the meeting - the Emergency Meeting of the Rules Committee - to order. Obviously we are doing this by telephone conference call and I appreciate everybody's participation.

I believe if I have been given accurate information, we have approximately 30 people on this call and for the purposes of the minutes - and I apologize for this - but I need to go through the list so that our staff knows who is participating in the meeting.

So first we'll start again and when I say your name, please -- please say here.

So first is Judge Abery-Wetstone.

JUDGE ABERY-WETSTONE: Here.

JUSTICE McDONALD: Judge Alexander.

JUDGE ALEXANDER: Here.

JUSTICE McDONALD: Judge Bellis.

JUDGE BELLIS: Here.

JUSTICE McDONALD: Judge Cobb.

JUDGE COBB: Here.

JUSTICE McDONALD: Judge Cradle.

1	JUDGE CRADLE: Here.
2	JUSTICE McDONALD: Judge Heller.
3	JUDGE HELLER: Here.
4	JUSTICE McDONALD: Judge Stevens.
5	
	JUDGE STEVENS: Here.
6	JUSTICE McDONALD: Judge Truglia.
7	JUDGE TRUGLIA: Here.
8	JUSTICE McDONALD: All right. Thank you. And
9	I believe we have several participants. I'd like
10	the first start with I think Dean Tim Fisher from
11	UConn School of Law.
12	DEAN FISHER: Here.
13	JUSTICE McDONALD: Dean Brad Saxton from
14	Quinnipiac School of Law.
15	DEAN SAXTON: Here.
16	JUSTICE McDONALD: Dean Setty from Western New
17	England University School of Law.
18	(No response)
19	JUSTICE McDONALD: Okay. And then from the
20	Connecticut Bar Examining Committee, I believe we
21	have Judge Dranginis.
22	JUDGE DRANGINIS: Here.
23	JUSTICE McDONALD: Denise Phelan.
24	MS. PHELAN: Here.
25	JUSTICE McDONALD: Matt Wex-Crell Wax-Crell,
26	sorry.
27	ATTY. WAX-CRELL: Here. Here.
	11111 01011, 11010, 11010,

1	JUSTICE McDONALD: Deborah Bradley.
2	MS. BRADLEY: Here.
3	JUSTICE McDONALD: Judge Elgo.
4	JUDGE ELGO: Here.
5	JUSTICE McDONALD: Eric Brose.
6	(No response)
7	JUSTICE McDONALD: David Moraghan.
8	MR. MORAGHAN: Here.
9	JUSTICE McDONALD: Sharon Peters.
10	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: I think she was unable to
11	join the call at the last minute, Your Honor.
12	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Right.
13	JUSTICE McDONALD: Okay. Fred Ury.
14	MR. URY: Here.
15	JUSTICE McDONALD: Abby Warren.
16	(No response)
17	JUSTICE McDONALD: And then from the
18	administrative office of the Bar Examining
19	Committee, Kathy Harrington.
20	MS. HARRINGTON: Here.
21	JUSTICE McDONALD: Jessica Kallipolites.
22	MS. KALLIPOLITES: Here.
23	JUSTICE McDONALD: Lisa Valko.
24	MS. VALKO: Here.
25	JUSTICE McDONALD: And from the Judicial
26	Branch, Attorney Joseph Del Ciampo.
27	ATTY. DEL CIAMPO: Here.

1	JUSTICE McDONALD: James O'Connor.
2	ATTY. O'CONNOR: Here.
3	JUSTICE McDONALD: Laura Petruzzelli.
4	ATTY. PETRUZZELLI: Here.
5	JUSTICE McDONALD: Shanna O'Donnell.
6	ATTY. O'DONNELL: Here.
7	JUSTICE McDONALD: And from External Affairs,
8	Melissa Farley.
9	MS. FARLEY: Here.
LO	JUSTICE McDONALD: And Alison Chandler.
L1	MS. FARLEY: You won't be able to hear her
L2	because she has the microphone on, but she is
L3	recording the conference call.
L 4	JUSTICE McDONALD: Right. Thank you.
L5	Is there anybody else on the phone call that I
L 6	have not announced?
L 7	(No response)
L8	Okay. Thank you very much. The first thing
L 9	that we will do is the approval of the Minutes of
20	the March 24 th , 2020 meeting.
21	From the members of the committee, is there a
22	motion to approve?
23	JUDGE BELLIS: Judge Bellis, so move.
24	JUSTICE McDONALD: And say your name.
25	JUDGE ABERY-WETSTONE: Judge Abery-Wetstone.
26	JUSTICE McDONALD: And moved by Judge Abery-
27	Wetstone and who's seconding it?

JUDGE BELLIS: Judge Bellis, second.

JUSTICE McDONALD: Thank you. All in favor,

say aye.

MEETING PARTICIPANTS: Aye.

JUSTICE McDONALD: Are there any opposed?

(No response)

1.3

2.3

2.4

2.6

JUSTICE McDONALD: If not, that passes unanimously.

The second item is the main reason for the meeting. It's the proposal from the Connecticut Bar Examining Committee that under Practice Book Section 1-9B, that the Rules Committee modify certain rules related to the practice of law in light of the public health and civil preparedness emergency that has been declared by the Governor.

And I first want to acknowledge that a great deal of effort has gone into this proposal from many stakeholders. We're going to hear from Judge Dranginis in a minute about it. But I want to say that I very much appreciate the work that everybody has done in putting this proposal together. I have shared the proposal with both the Chief Justice and the Chief Court Administrator and both have expressed their support for this proposal.

And so with that, I would turn it over to Judge
Dranginis so that she can sort of frame the
situation and what the proposal accomplishes. I

should also say that all of the proposals have previously been circulated to the members of the committee.

Judge Dranginis.

JUDGE DRANGINIS: Thank you very much, Justice McDonald. And I want to thank you and the members and staff of the Rules Committee for your prompt attention to what is, as you can well imagine, a monumental issue to the students who face graduation from law school during this period of time.

I also wanted to thank, on behalf of the Bar Examining Committee, the deans from law schools in Connecticut and our area for their very collegial assistance, both to their students and to us and the Bar Examining Committee such that we could address the challenges and disappointments wrought by the current pandemic and our need to protect each other from its danger.

The Bar Examining Committee has been very active and from early on and I want to thank everyone who has made comments and edits to proposals in the proposal that is before the Rules Committee today, especially the working group comprised of Judge Elgo, Matt Wax-Crell, Fred Ury, and David Moraghan.

I tried to review all of the emails that we exchanged since March $26^{\rm th}$ on this matter and it

really was a yeoman's task. We worked very competently and powerfully, assisted by Attorney Kathleen Harrington, Deputy Director of Attorney Services Jessica Kallipolites and director of the CBEC, and Lisa Valko, Program Manager.

2.4

And I think it's important for me to point out to the Rules Committee and for them to know that Attorney Harrington is currently serving as the Chair of the Counsel of Bar Admission

Administrators, which is a counsel of nationwide Bar administrators and all of whom have been dealing with the issue of the pandemic and how it is impacting the Bar examination.

Because of her position, on March $18^{\rm th}$, she became aware of the rumblings about the potential need to reschedule the July Bar examination and we exchanged emails about that.

Also, I'm on the National Committee for Uniform Bar Exam and about the 20th of March was uniformed that there would be, because of postponements in certain jurisdictions, the offering of another Bar exam later in the fall.

We did report to the full committee on March 26th the uncertainty of the Bar exam and thereafter, I convened a working group such that we could respond in an efficient way to the variety of challenges to the delay of the July Bar exam.

The Bar Examining Committee as a whole was kept up to date with respect to that and by mid-April,

Kathy Harrington and I participated with a town hall with the 3L students at UConn Law School --

JUSTICE McDONALD: Judge Dranginis, can you hold on one second. Could everybody please put your phone on mute. There is background noise that is interfering with the meeting. Please put your phone on mute if you are not speaking. Thank you.

Please continue, Judge.

JUDGE Dranginis: Sure.

And so for -- we had many conversations and conference calls with the deans and the working groups and the deans who were able to join us. We discussed the diploma privilege that was being advocated by the law students who were graduating mid-spring. The Bar Examining Committee was uniformly resistant to that so wide open to having an expansion of the student intern rule.

And so we actually, in our committee and with the deans, talked about that kind of a rule and through many iterations and have proposed to the Rules Committee the rule that has been -- actually promulgated to you for passage on an emergency basis and we would like the rule to be passed and to be effective immediately.

JUSTICE McDONALD: Thank you very much. And

2.4

actually, I would also like to briefly hear from the three law school deans that are on the call as well.

So starting with Dean Fisher.

DEAN FISHER: Thank you, Justice McDonald, and thank you, Judge Dranginis, for your explanation but also for your amazing leadership and efforts over the last month-plus in getting us to this point.

I, like the other deans, support this. Brad
Saxton and I just got an email from Sudha Setty, the
dean at Western New England, just before ten o'clock
that she'd been pulled in for an urgent call, but I
know we speak for her as well the dean at Yale,
Heather Gerken.

We support this rule and there are a number of points that we'd like to make about that. First off, we acknowledge the incredible speed with which the Bar Examining Committee worked and the incredible effort, I know over many weekends because I was getting the texts and participating in the phone calls through this period of time and the creativity and the energy that they gave to it, balancing so many different considerations to find something that would be workable.

And this will enable our students as they graduate this spring and some who graduated back in the winter of last year who have not yet sat through the Bar to be able to get started in some on-the-

ground work representing clients and dealing with some of the low-level court action that will help them get their -- get started on developing their professional skills beyond those which we were able to teach them in our law school. So that's a really great start.

2.4

There's another factor outside this rule itself which makes it so workable for us and that's also enormous credit to the Bar Examining Committee.

While moving the exam for, you know, important public health reasons to the end of September, the Bar Examining Committee has also reversed the sequence of a crucial part - the Review of Character and Fitness - which traditionally was done after the Bar exam results were received.

They're doing that in advance and the result of that is that where normally the class that graduated in May gets sworn in in late October to early November, now this class will be able to get sworn in and pass the Bar and the character and fitness review only a few weeks later.

And so thanks to that effort and all of the adjustments that the Bar Examining Committee is making, our students that are graduating this year will suffer only a modest delay in their actual licensure. And so the gap that this rule is closing is much less than it would otherwise have been but

for that work by Judge Dranginis and the members of her committee and her staff.

2.4

I will say that should things turn much worse and we lose that Bar exam as well - which I don't think is likely and I know that we are taking a number of major efforts to make sure that it can go forward under safe conditions - then we might want to raise again the question of whether this year's grads might actually have license to practice as opposed to being under supervision. But shy of that, I think we're in exactly the right spot.

The last thing I'll say and then move back, the burden is now on the Bar and on us in reaching out to alumni to find supervisors because under this rule, our graduates are working under the license of somebody else who is therefore the person who's profession and degree is responsible for the quality of their work.

Given that and given the economy that we're in, it's going to be relatively difficult to line up a lot of these opportunities for our graduates. We don't yet know what the response is going to be.

And I'm going to give it our best effort and we'll certainly look to the support of the Bar Association in that.

So we don't expect that this will be a very large quantity of legal work being done by our

grads, but if it's some that enables them to get started, then we think that's a great thing and we're grateful for it.

JUSTICE McDONALD: Thank you. Thank you very much. And you said Dean Setty was not able to be on the call, correct?

DEAN FISHER: That's right. She just (indiscernible) immediately before.

JUSTICE McDONALD: Thank you. Dean Saxton.

DEAN SAXTON: Thank you very much, Justice

McDonald and I have very little to add to what Dean

Fisher said. I would like -- Quinnipiac and I also

support the rule and we're very grateful for it. I

would like to say we are so grateful to Judge

Dranginis and to the CBEC and the working group that

did all the work to put this together. It was

really yeoman's work and very, very nicely done and

we are extremely grateful for it, so thank you for

it.

Dean Fisher really expressed everything that I would have expressed. I would say that I share the couple of concerns that he expressed. The one -- the rule is a very helpful thing, but it's going to be really challenging to line up enough supervisors, given the large number of recent graduates that we expect might want to take advantage of the new rule or try and invoke it.

So we will be doing what we can to help out, but we're -- that will be something that I think the law schools and the Bar will all need to work together to try and meet the need for.

The other one, as Dean Fisher expressed, is that this seems to work very well if all goes according to plan. Now if something happens to delay the Bar beyond when it's now supposed to be, it might be that it would be appropriate to revisit the question of some other solution to the problem, whether it would be something along the lines of a diploma privilege or something along the lines of, you know, a limited practice, temporary admission that some of the other jurisdictions are exploring. But hopefully we won't need to get there and things will go as planned.

But that said, we support this. We're grateful for it. Judge Dranginis, again, thank you to you and your colleagues and Justice McDonald, thank you very much for allowing us to participate. So thank you.

JUSTICE McDONALD: Thank you. And obviously I do also extend my gratitude to the working group that put this together.

I'm not encouraging it, but if anybody from the Bar Examining Committee has anything that is necessary to add to this conversation, now would be

the time before we take questions from members of the committee.

(No response)

1.3

2.3

2.4

2.6

And I'm going to take that as a no. So I will open this up to members of the committee if they have any questions of Judge Dranginis or any of the other participants in this telephone call.

JUDGE BELLIS: This is Judge Bellis. I actually have one question.

JUSTICE McDONALD: Please go forward.

JUDGE BELLIS: Yes. So I wholeheartedly support the proposal and commend everyone. I just had a question of logistics, if I might.

JUSTICE McDONALD: Sure

JUDGE BELLIS: So I understand that you would get approval from the Court. Normally you would get introduced and then file a written consent and approval will be filed.

So for the civil cases, they're -- you're not required to have the supervising attorney with you?

Obviously there won't be an introduction, but will that consent and approval still be filed with the Court so that we have a way of knowing that the attorney has not been admitted to the Bar?

JUSTICE McDONALD: Judge Dranginis, I'll use you as the conduit to direct whether you can answer it or direct the question to somebody else.

Judge Dranginis?

JUDGE DRANGINIS: Yeah. I'm just on mute.

Sorry about that.

JUSTICE McDONALD: Okay.

JUDGE DRANGINIS: My understanding -- and I think that Jessica Kallipolites probably can answer this question as well. But because of the consent that has to be filed, we expect that to be in the file or electronic and that the Court would know that the person who's appearing is a Certified Law Graduate.

And maybe, Jess, you can expand on that.

MS. KALLIPOLITES: Hi. Thank you, Judge. My understanding is the same as yours. I actually don't have a whole lot additional to add. I'm not certain --

JUDGE DRANGINIS: And I think that the supervisor -- we would ask the supervisor to caution the Certified Law Graduate to -- that would be interning to introduce himself or herself as in such a role to the presiding judge.

Thank you.

MS. HARRINGTON: Your Honor, Kathy Harrington.

I've noted that the normal systems here of consent

and approval could continue. We did not envision

that the rule would change in that regard, but that

the Court would have notice that they would be

1.3

1 appearing in limited ability. JUDGE DRANGINIS: That's correct. 2 3 JUDGE BELLIS: Thank you so much. 4 JUSTICE McDONALD: Are there any other 5 questions from members of the committee? If not, I will accept a motion for approval of 7 the emergency rule as it has been submitted and distributed to members of the committee. Is there a 8 9 motion? 10 JUDGE BELLIS: Judge Bellis, so move. JUSTICE McDONALD: Is there a second? 11 12 JUDGE ALEXANDER: Second. Judge Alexander. 1.3 JUSTICE McDONALD: Thank you. Is there any 14 discussion from among the members of the committee 15 on the proposal? 16 JUDGE STEVENS: Judge Stevens. The only 17 question I have is to verify the procedure for 18 immediate implementation. JUSTICE McDONALD: Procedure for immediate 19 20 implementation. I guess I'll turn it back over to 21 Judge Dranginis if she has any insight over that. 22 JUDGE DRANGINIS: I think, Your Honor, that it 2.3 could be effective upon passage and I believe the 2.4 requirement is that it be -- or that the Rules 25 Commission would use its best efforts, good faith 2.6 attempts to have it published in the Law Journal as

soon as possible but that would not invalidate it.

1	JUSTICE McDONALD: Right.
2	JUDGE DRANGINIS: Pursuant to 1-9B.
3	JUSTICE McDONALD: That's been maybe
4	Attorney Del Ciampo has additional insight, but
5	that's my understanding as well. It would be
6	effective upon passage.
7	ATTY. DEL CIAMPO: Yes, that's correct, and
8	we'll publish it in the Law Journal in the next few
9	weeks.
10	JUSTICE McDONALD: Anything further, Judge
11	Stevens?
12	JUDGE STEVENS: No, thank you.
13	JUSTICE McDONALD: Thank you. Are there any
14	other questions or comments from members of the
15	committee?
16	(No response)
17	JUSTICE McDONALD: If not, all in favor say
18	aye.
19	MEETING PARTICIPANTS: Aye.
20	JUSTICE McDONALD: Are there any opposed?
21	(No response)
22	JUSTICE McDONALD: All right. Thank you. That
23	passes unanimously.
24	And I believe that is all we have to do. I
25	should point out that this call is being recorded by
26	members of our External Affairs division and once we
27	conclude the meeting, the recording will be posted

1	on the Judicial Branch's website.
2	Is there a motion to adjourn?
3	JUDGE COBB: So move. Judge Cobb.
4	JUDGE STEVENS: Second. Judge Stevens.
5	JUSTICE McDONALD: All in favor, say aye.
6	MEETING PARTICIPANTS: Aye.
7	JUSTICE McDONALD: Opposed, say no.
8	(No response)
9	JUSTICE McDONALD: That passes unanimously. We
LO	stand adjourned. I thank everybody again for their
L1	participation and please stay healthy and safe.
L2	Take care.
L3	* * *
L 4	
L5	
L 6	
L7	
L 8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

I, Amy Anderson, do hereby certify the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription of the audio recording of an emergency meeting of the Rules Committee, held via telephone conference, on the 11th of May, 2020. Amy Anderson Official Court Reporter