## SUPREME COURT

## STATE OF CONNECTICUT

NO. SC 18612

SUSAN BYSIEWICZ

V.

NANCY DINARDO ET AL.

: MAY 18, 2010

## <u>ORDER</u>

The Court has concluded that:

- (1) the trial court correctly determined that the plaintiff has standing and the issues before the court were ripe for adjudication;
- (2) the trial court improperly determined that the plaintiff satisfied the requirements of General Statutes § 3-124; and
  - (3) we conclude that General Statutes §3-124 is constitutional.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is reversed and the case is remanded to the trial court with direction to render a declaratory judgment that the plaintiff fails to satisfy the requirements of General Statutes § 3-124. A written opinion will follow. This is the unanimous decision of the Court.

BY THE COURT,

PAUL S. HARTAN

DEPUTY CHIEF CLERK

NOTICE SENT: MAY 18, 2010

GERSTEN, CLIFFORD & ROME, LLP HORTON, SHIELDS & KNOX, P.C.

HINCKLEY ALLEN & SNYDER, LLP

KEVIN NORMANDY REYNOLDS DEREK EDWARD DONNELLY

GREGORY T. D'AURIA, SENIOR APPELLATE COUNSEL

PEREGRINE ALBAN ZINN-ROWTHORN, ASSOCIATE ATTORNEY GENERAL

ROBERT WILLIAM CLARK, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

HON. MICHAEL R. SHELDON

CLERK, HARTFORD JUDICIAL DISTRICT (CV10-6008194)