
The “officially released” date that appears near the beginning of each opinion is the date the opinion will be published in the Connecticut Law Journal or the date it was released as a slip opinion. The operative date for the beginning of all time periods for filing postopinion motions and petitions for certification is the “officially released” date appearing in the opinion. In no event will any such motions be accepted before the “officially released” date.

All opinions are subject to modification and technical correction prior to official publication in the Connecticut Reports and Connecticut Appellate Reports. In the event of discrepancies between the electronic version of an opinion and the print version appearing in the Connecticut Law Journal and subsequently in the Connecticut Reports or Connecticut Appellate Reports, the latest print version is to be considered authoritative.

The syllabus and procedural history accompanying the opinion as it appears on the Commission on Official Legal Publications Electronic Bulletin Board Service and in the Connecticut Law Journal and bound volumes of official reports are copyrighted by the Secretary of the State, State of Connecticut, and may not be reproduced and distributed without the express written permission of the Commission on Official Legal Publications, Judicial Branch, State of Connecticut.

MCDONALD, J., concurring. I concur in the majority opinion that the judgments should be affirmed. As to part I of the opinion,¹ rather than referring to the victim's nationality, I would point to evidence that the victim was stabbed in the abdomen, which caused a large blood clot in the abdominal wall and the mesentery of the colon was injured with arterial bleeding, and evidence that the first police officer interviewing the victim in English, not the victim's first language, had trouble communicating with the victim. Also, the trial court found the victim was bleeding and disoriented as he called 911. Thus, the trial court properly remarked that the victim's contradictions as to the location of the stabbing given to the police and hospital personnel may have been the product of difficulty expressing himself in English while being presented with a greatly emotional situation. I agree, therefore, that there was sufficient evidence to support the judgments.

¹ Part III of the final draft before publication was changed to part I of the published opinion.