****

The "officially released" date that appears near the beginning of an opinion is the date the opinion will be published in the Connecticut Law Journal or the date it is released as a slip opinion. The operative date for the beginning of all time periods for the filing of postopinion motions and petitions for certification is the "officially released" date appearing in the opinion.

All opinions are subject to modification and technical correction prior to official publication in the Connecticut Law Journal and subsequently in the Connecticut Reports or Connecticut Appellate Reports. In the event of discrepancies between the advance release version of an opinion and the version appearing in the Connecticut Law Journal and subsequently in the Connecticut Reports or Connecticut Appellate Reports, the latest version is to be considered authoritative.

****

M. T. *v.* C. T.
(AC 46711)

Suarez, Westbrook and Prescott, Js.

Argued April 15—officially released April 23, 2024

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of New London at Norwich, *Thomas*, *J.*

Per Curiam. The appeal is dismissed.

DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY, TRUSTEE *v.* BRIAN J. HEIDEL ET AL.
(AC 46698)

Suarez, Westbrook and Prescott, Js.

Submitted on briefs April 15—officially released April 23, 2024

Defendants' appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Danbury, *Shaban*, *J.*

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed and the case is remanded for the purpose of setting new law days.

EDWARD A. PERUTA *v.* IMAGINEERS, INC., ET AL.
(AC 46453)

Elgo, Moll and Clark, Js.

Argued April 15—officially released April 23, 2024

Plaintiff's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Hartford, *Sicilian*, *J.*

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed. The plaintiff appeals from the judgment of the trial court rendered following the granting of the defendants' motions to strike the plaintiff's revised complaint in its entirety. During oral argument before this court, the plaintiff abandoned his claims on appeal with respect to counts one through twenty-four of his revised complaint. With

respect to counts twenty-five through thirty-two of the revised complaint, the plaintiff's claims on appeal are inadequately briefed, and, thus, we decline to review them. See *Pryor* v. *Pryor*, 162 Conn. App. 451, 458, 133 A.3d 463 (2016).