
The "officially released" date that appears near the beginning of each opinion is the date the opinion will be published in the <u>Connecticut Law Journal</u> or the date it was released as a slip opinion. The operative date for the beginning of all time periods for filing postopinion motions and petitions for certification is the "officially released" date appearing in the opinion. In no event will any such motions be accepted before the "officially released" date.

All opinions are subject to modification and technical correction prior to official publication in the Connecticut Reports and Connecticut Appellate Reports. In the event of discrepancies between the electronic version of an opinion and the print version appearing in the Connecticut Law Journal and subsequently in the Connecticut Reports or Connecticut Appellate Reports, the latest print version is to be considered authoritative.

The syllabus and procedural history accompanying the opinion as it appears on the Commission on Official Legal Publications Electronic Bulletin Board Service and in the Connecticut Law Journal and bound volumes of official reports are copyrighted by the Secretary of the State, State of Connecticut, and may not be reproduced and distributed without the express written permission of the Commission on Official Legal Publications, Judicial Branch, State of Connecticut.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT v. NATA BOB (AC 21884)

Lavery, C. J., and Dranginis and Freedman, Js.

Argued September 9—officially released November 12, 2002

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of New Haven, geographical area number twenty-three, *Hudock*, *J*.

Per Curiam. See *State* v. *Hedman*, 261 Conn. 390, 802 A.2d 842 (2002); *State* v. *Valedon*, 261 Conn. 381, 802 A.2d 836 (2002).

The judgment is affirmed.

CURT B. HIRSCH v. GEORGE A. NEGRO (AC 22388)

Flynn, Bishop and West, Js.

Submitted on briefs September 9—officially released November 12, 2002

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Tolland, *Sferrazza, J.*

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT v. JASPER MCFADDEN (AC 22072)

Mihalakos, Flynn and Hennessy, Js.

Argued September 11—officially released November 12, 2002

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of New Haven at Meriden, *Taylor*, *J*.

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.*MARIO MENDELA-GANDHI (AC 22079)

Lavery, C. J., and West and Peters, Js.

Argued September 10—officially released November 12, 2002

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of New London, geographical area number ten, *McMahon*, *J*.

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT v. RONALD SWINTON (AC 21574)

Mihalakos, Bishop and Peters, Js.

Argued September 12—officially released November 12, 2002

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Fairfield, *Hauser, J.*

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT v. ARTHUR GAINEY (AC 22234)

Lavery, C. J., and Foti and Dranginis, Js.

Argued September 12—officially released November 12, 2002

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of New Britain, *Hon. Bernard D. Gaffney*, judge trial referee.

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT v. JUAN SEABROOK (AC 22148)

Foti, Dranginis and Flynn, Js.

Argued September 13—officially released November 12, 2002

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Norwich, geographical area number twenty-one, *Jongbloed, J.*

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT v. WILLIE ARNOLD (AC 21688)

Mihalakos, Flynn and Dupont, Js.

Argued September 17—officially released November 12, 2002

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of New Britain, *Wollenberg, J.*

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT v. TANAKA DAVIS (AC 22106)

Flynn, Bishop and Landau, Js.

Argued September 24—officially released November 12, 2002

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Hartford, geographical area number fourteen, *Crawford, J.*

Per Curiam. The judgments are affirmed.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT v. FREDERICK CHIERO (AC 22071)

Schaller, Flynn and West, Js.

Argued September 20—officially released November 12, 2002

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Fairfield, geographical area number two, *Owens, J.*

 $Per\ Curiam.\ The\ judgment\ is\ affirmed.$

_