
The "officially released" date that appears near the beginning of each opinion is the date the opinion will be published in the <u>Connecticut Law Journal</u> or the date it was released as a slip opinion. The operative date for the beginning of all time periods for filing postopinion motions and petitions for certification is the "officially released" date appearing in the opinion. In no event will any such motions be accepted before the "officially released" date.

All opinions are subject to modification and technical correction prior to official publication in the Connecticut Reports and Connecticut Appellate Reports. In the event of discrepancies between the electronic version of an opinion and the print version appearing in the Connecticut Law Journal and subsequently in the Connecticut Reports or Connecticut Appellate Reports, the latest print version is to be considered authoritative.

The syllabus and procedural history accompanying the opinion as it appears on the Commission on Official Legal Publications Electronic Bulletin Board Service and in the Connecticut Law Journal and bound volumes of official reports are copyrighted by the Secretary of the State, State of Connecticut, and may not be reproduced and distributed without the express written permission of the Commission on Official Legal Publications, Judicial Branch, State of Connecticut.

MELISSA B. BONEBO v. MICHAEL BONEBO (AC 24343)

Bishop, West and DiPentima, Js.

Submitted on briefs June 7-officially released June 29, 2004

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Hartford, *Robaina, J.; Priestly, J.*

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT v. DOUGLAS SPENCER (AC 24407)

Lavery, C. J., and Flynn and DiPentima, Js.

Argued June 3-officially released June 29, 2004

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of New Haven, geographical area number seven, *Graham, J.*

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

EMILE KING v. COMMISSIONER OF CORRECTION (AC 23954)

Lavery, C. J., and Foti and McLachlan, Js.

Submitted on briefs June 7-officially released June 29, 2004

Petitioner's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Tolland, *Fuger, J.*

Per Curiam. The habeas court did not abuse its discretion in denying the petition for certification to appeal from the court's denial of the petition for a writ of habeas corpus.

The appeal is dismissed.

FRANK ROSA v. COMMISSIONER OF CORRECTION (AC 24620)

Foti, Schaller and Dranginis, Js.

Submitted on briefs June 7—officially released June 29, 2004

Petitioner's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Danbury, *Fischer, J.*

Per Curiam. The habeas court did not abuse its discretion in denying the petition for certification to appeal from the court's denial of the petition for a writ of habeas corpus.

The appeal is dismissed.

EARL H. REID v. COMMISSIONER OF CORRECTION (AC 24967)

Foti, Schaller and Dranginis, Js.

Submitted on briefs June 7-officially released June 29, 2004

Petitioner's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Tolland, *White, J.*

Per Curiam. The habeas court did not abuse its discretion in denying the petition for certification to appeal from the court's denial of the petition for a writ of habeas corpus.

The appeal is dismissed.

DANIEL HENDERSON v. HENDERSON'S AUTO BODY, INC., ET AL. (AC 24883)

Lavery, C. J., and Flynn and Bishop, Js.

Submitted on briefs June 7—officially released June 29, 2004

Plaintiff's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of New Haven, *Devlin*, *J*.

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

EDWARD PARKER v. COMMISSIONER OF CORRECTION (AC 24071)

Lavery, C. J., and Flynn and Bishop, Js.

Submitted on briefs June 7-officially released June 29, 2004

Petitioner's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of New Haven, *Hon. William L. Hadden, Jr.*, judge trial referee.

Per Curiam. The habeas court did not abuse its discretion in denying the petition for certification to appeal from the court's denial of the petition for a writ of habeas corpus.

The appeal is dismissed.