
The “officially released” date that appears near the beginning of each opinion is the date the opinion will be published in the Connecticut Law Journal or the date it was released as a slip opinion. The operative date for the beginning of all time periods for filing postopinion motions and petitions for certification is the “officially released” date appearing in the opinion. In no event will any such motions be accepted before the “officially released” date.

All opinions are subject to modification and technical correction prior to official publication in the Connecticut Reports and Connecticut Appellate Reports. In the event of discrepancies between the electronic version of an opinion and the print version appearing in the Connecticut Law Journal and subsequently in the Connecticut Reports or Connecticut Appellate Reports, the latest print version is to be considered authoritative.

The syllabus and procedural history accompanying the opinion as it appears on the Commission on Official Legal Publications Electronic Bulletin Board Service and in the Connecticut Law Journal and bound volumes of official reports are copyrighted by the Secretary of the State, State of Connecticut, and may not be reproduced and distributed without the express written permission of the Commission on Official Legal Publications, Judicial Branch, State of Connecticut.

WEBSTER BANK v. JOANNA V. ZAK ET AL.
(AC 20906)

Landau, Zarella and Daly, Js.

Argued December 12, 2000—officially released January 16, 2001

Counsel

Kerry M. Wisser, with whom was *Nathan A. Schatz*, for the appellant (defendant MFR of East Hampton, LLC).

Jeffrey T. Beatty, with whom, on the brief, was *Richard J. Beatty*, for the appellee (substitute plaintiff EMC Mortgage Corporation).

Opinion

PER CURIAM. The judgment of the trial court in this case must be reversed and the case remanded for the purpose of making factual findings to determine whether the defendant MFR of East Hampton, LLC, has standing to assert its claim to an interest in the property under foreclosure and to otherwise participate in the proceedings. We retain jurisdiction over this appeal for purposes of any further appellate proceedings, without the necessity of filing another appeal.

The judgment is reversed and the case is remanded
for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.
