
The “officially released” date that appears near the beginning of each opinion is the date the opinion will be published in the Connecticut Law Journal or the date it was released as a slip opinion. The operative date for the beginning of all time periods for filing postopinion motions and petitions for certification is the “officially released” date appearing in the opinion. In no event will any such motions be accepted before the “officially released” date.

All opinions are subject to modification and technical correction prior to official publication in the Connecticut Reports and Connecticut Appellate Reports. In the event of discrepancies between the electronic version of an opinion and the print version appearing in the Connecticut Law Journal and subsequently in the Connecticut Reports or Connecticut Appellate Reports, the latest print version is to be considered authoritative.

The syllabus and procedural history accompanying the opinion as it appears on the Commission on Official Legal Publications Electronic Bulletin Board Service and in the Connecticut Law Journal and bound volumes of official reports are copyrighted by the Secretary of the State, State of Connecticut, and may not be reproduced and distributed without the express written permission of the Commission on Official Legal Publications, Judicial Branch, State of Connecticut.

RONALD F. PETRONELLA, COMMISSIONER OF
LABOR, EX REL. GLENN MAIORANO ET AL.
v. VENTURE PARTNERS, LTD., ET AL.
(SC 16433)

Borden, Norcott, Katz, Palmer and Vertefeuille, Js.

Argued September 25—officially released October 23, 2001

Counsel

Robert A. Ziegler, with whom were *Leslee B. Hill* and *Edward B. Bradley*, for the appellants (named defendant et al.).

Glenn A. Woods, assistant attorney general, with whom were *Edward F. Reynolds, Jr.*, and *Gary G. Williams*, assistant attorneys general, and, on the brief, *Richard Blumenthal*, attorney general, for the appellee (plaintiff).

Opinion

PER CURIAM. After examining the record on appeal and considering the briefs and oral arguments of the parties, we have determined that the appeal in this case should be dismissed on the ground that certification was improvidently granted.¹

The appeal is dismissed.

¹ We granted the defendants' petition for certification to appeal from the judgment of the Appellate Court; *Petronella v. Venture Partners, Ltd.*, 60 Conn. App. 205, 758 A.2d 869 (2000); limited to the following issue: "Were the defendants employers for purposes of General Statutes § 31-72 and thereby liable for wages due employees that were earned prior to the defendants' involvement with the employer corporation?" *Petronella v. Venture Partners, Ltd.*, 255 Conn. 909, 763 A.2d 1035 (2000).
