
The "officially released" date that appears near the beginning of each opinion is the date the opinion will be published in the <u>Connecticut Law Journal</u> or the date it was released as a slip opinion. The operative date for the beginning of all time periods for filing postopinion motions and petitions for certification is the "officially released" date appearing in the opinion. In no event will any such motions be accepted before the "officially released" date.

All opinions are subject to modification and technical correction prior to official publication in the Connecticut Reports and Connecticut Appellate Reports. In the event of discrepancies between the electronic version of an opinion and the print version appearing in the Connecticut Law Journal and subsequently in the Connecticut Reports or Connecticut Appellate Reports, the latest print version is to be considered authoritative.

The syllabus and procedural history accompanying the opinion as it appears on the Commission on Official Legal Publications Electronic Bulletin Board Service and in the Connecticut Law Journal and bound volumes of official reports are copyrighted by the Secretary of the State, State of Connecticut, and may not be reproduced and distributed without the express written permission of the Commission on Official Legal Publications, Judicial Branch, State of Connecticut.

NEW MILFORD SAVINGS BANK v. JOHN D. MULVILLE, JR., ET AL. (SC 16445)

Borden, Norcott, Katz, Palmer and Vertefeuille, Js. Argued November 30—officially released December 25, 2001

Counsel

Jeanine M. Dumont, with whom, on the brief, was *Steven H. Levy*, for the appellants (named defendant et al.).

Joseph P. Secola, for the appellee (plaintiff).

James K. Filan, Jr., assistant United States attorney, with whom, on the brief, was *John A. Danaher III*, United States attorney, for the appellee (defendant United States Internal Revenue Service).

William C. Franklin, for the appellee (intervening defendant Jonathan M. Jadow).

Opinion

PER CURIAM. In this mortgage foreclosure action, we granted the petition of the defendants John D. Mulville, Jr., and Anne B. Mulville to appeal from the judgment of the Appellate Court affirming the trial court's

judgment approving the foreclosure sale. *New Milford Savings Bank* v. *Mulville*, 60 Conn. App. 901, 759 A.2d 1058 (2000). After examining the record on appeal and considering the briefs and oral arguments of the parties, we have determined that the appeal in this case should be dismissed on the ground that certification was improvidently granted.¹

The appeal is dismissed.

¹ We granted the petition for certification to appeal limited to the following issue: "Did the Appellate Court properly affirm the judgment of the trial court affirming the foreclosure sale?" *New Milford Savings Bank*v. *Mulville*, 255 Conn. 922, 763 A.2d 1043 (2000).