## Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Appellate Reports Volume 175

## (Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Arroyo v. University of Connecticut Health Center	493
on theory of liability materially different from that alleged in notice of claim	
filed with Claims Commissioner, and for which plaintiffs received waiver of	
sovereign immunity from commissioner, court was barred under doctrine of	
sovereign immunity from rendering judgment for plaintiffs on that theory of	
liability; whether, pursuant to statute (§ 4-160 [b]), commissioner was required	
to grant plaintiffs permission to bring action against state defendants where	
plaintiffs properly filed timely notice with commissioner seeking permission to	
pursue medical malpractice action against defendants and attached good faith	
certificate to notice; whether, pursuant to statute (§ 4-147 [2]), claim in notice need not be particularized and must contain only concise statement of basis of	
claim; reviewability of unpreserved claim that court improperly awarded dam-	
ages to plaintiffs on theory of liability pursued at trial but not alleged in com-	
plaint; claim that plaintiffs presented insufficient evidence as to causation;	
credibility of witnesses; whether court properly determined that plaintiffs satis-	
fied burden of proving causation.	
Bigelow v. Commissioner of Correction	206
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused discretion in denying petitioner certifi-	
cation to appeal; whether court improperly denied petition for writ of habeas	
corpus; claim that habeas counsel failed to raise claims that trial counsel did	
not properly advise and adequately represent petitioner during plea negotiations and plea canvass; claim that habeas counsel failed to raise claim that trial counsel	
improperly failed to file motion seeking petitioner's entry into diversionary	
substance abuse program; claim that trial counsel provided ineffective assistance	
by failing to seek certain presentence confinement credit.	
Buehler v. Buehler	375
Dissolution of marriage; partial denial of motion for contempt; reviewability of	
claim that trial court improperly determined that extracurricular expenses for	
parties' minor children were unreasonable under facts and circumstances of	
case because there had been no meaningful discussion between parties prior to	
incurrence of those costs; whether record was inadequate to review claim when	
this court was provided with transcripts for only three of four days of hearing on contempt motion.	
Cadle Co. v. Ogalin	1
Summary judgment; action to enforce judgment; whether trial court improperly	1
granted motion to strike second special defense alleging that action was duplica-	
tive, unfair, inequitable, vexatious and oppressive; whether allegation of nonpay-	
ment is sufficient reason for initiating action; whether defendant established	
claim that action was unfair and duplicative due to fact that active collection	
proceedings remained pending before trial court; whether trial court properly	
granted motion for summary judgment; whether trial court properly determined	
that special defense of laches was equitable defense and not applicable to action	
for monetary damages that was filed within relevant statute of limitations (§ 52-	
598); whether defendant alleged facts to create genuine issue of material fact as	
to whether he was prejudiced by any delay in enforcement; claim that trial court improperly awarded postjudgment interest; failure to specifically plead issue	
of res judicata as special defense; reviewability of claim raised for first time	
on appeal.	
Cohen v. Meyers	519
Contracts; claim that trial court improperly failed to pierce corporate veil of corporate	010
defendant and to hold individual defendant personally liable for fraud and viola-	
tion of Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (CUTPA) (§ 42-110a et seq.) by	
corporate defendant; claim that court's determination that corporate defendant	
failed to comply with New Home Construction Contractors Act (§ 20-417a et	

402

seq.), which formed basis for finding of violation of CUTPA, supported finding that plaintiff satisfied instrumentality test for piercing corporate veil; whether record supported court's finding that plaintiff offered insufficient evidence to satisfy instrumentality test by failing to show that individual defendant exercised control over corporate defendant to commit fraud or some other wrong; whether court properly ruled in favor of individual defendant on defamation claim; whether challenged statements were defamatory per se; whether plaintiff met burden of proof as to special defense that subject statements were true; whether court properly rejected claims that statements were privileged; claim that court improperly awarded plaintiff damages on CUTPA claim because plaintiff failed to prove that he suffered any compensable injury; credibility of witnesses; claim that court improperly failed to award punitive damages on defamation claim; whether court improperly rejected claim for intentional infliction of emotional distress; whether court properly found that conduct did not rise to level of extreme and outrageous conduct.

DiNapoli v. Regenstein	383
concerned matters outside scope of direct examination; whether trial court improperly failed to permit expert witness to answer hypothetical question.  Dull v. Commissioner of Correction	250
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court improperly dismissed habeas petition as untimely pursuant to statute (§ 52-470 [d] and [e]); claim that petitioner established good cause for untimely filing of habeas petition.	200
Ellen S. v. Katlyn F	559
tion for civil protection order; claim that trial court improperty determined that there were reasonable grounds to believe that defendant had stalked plaintiff and would continue to do so in absence of order of protection; failure of defendant to obtain memorandum of decision from trial court and to include decision in appendix to brief; whether transcript of trial court proceedings revealed sufficiently detailed and concise statement of court's findings.	
Hosein v. Edman	13
Negligence; personal injury; claim that trial court erred in discrediting and effec- tively precluding testimony of accident reconstructionist witness without affording plaintiff evidentiary hearing; whether it was within province of trial court, as trier of fact, to decide what weight, if any, to afford testimony of expert witness.	
Hynes v. Jones	80
Probate; whether Superior Court properly dismissed appeal from Probate Court's denial of motion to dismiss guardianship proceedings; claim that Probate Court lacked subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to statute (§ 45a-629 [a]); claim that the Superior Court improperly determined that minor child was resident of probate district when she became entitled to share of award from victim compensation fund.	80
	971
In re Luis N	271
In re Luis N	307
Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court deprived respondent father of	
fair trial by meeting with children ex parte, allowing visitation supervisor with Department of Children and Families to attend meeting and failing to make record of court's observations of children; whether unpreserved claim was reviewable pursuant to State v. Golding (213 Conn. 233); whether, even if trial court's ex parte meeting violated father's right to fair trial, any error was harmless; whether father could prevail under plain error doctrine when he failed to challenge factual basis of judgments terminating parental rights; claim that trial court erred in failing to declare mistrial, sua sponte, after ex parte meeting with children; failure to raise claim before trial court.	440
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Herman	662
Foreign judgment; application for order in aid of execution of foreign judgment; trusts; application for turnover order; personal jurisdiction; in rem jurisdiction; claim that trial court improperly exercised personal jurisdiction over defendant because he had no significant contacts with Connecticut and mere presence of defendant's broker in state was insufficient to confer jurisdiction; claim that trial court's turnover order improperly deviated from its oral ruling granting	

plaintiff's application for turnover order; whether turnover order should have been directed specifically to broker's Stamford office instead of to broker's office in general and should have expressly limited execution to assets in subject trust account.	
	154
Medeiros v. Medeiros  Dissolution of marriage; motion for contempt; sanctions; claim that trial court failed to allow defendant fair opportunity to present defense to motion for contempt; whether trial court improperly precluded, on hearsay grounds, defendant from testifying regarding statements made to him by parties' child, whether any error was harmless; claim that trial court failed to determine that evidence establishing finding of contempt met required clear and convincing standard of proof; claim that trial court erred in imposing sanctions for defendant's indirect civil contempt; whether challenge to trial court's stayed order of incarceration was moot; whether claim qualified for capable of repetition yet evading review exception to	174
mootness doctrine; whether trial court's stayed incarceration order was punitive; whether trial court abused discretion by failing to consider defendant's ability to pay plaintiff attorney's fees and marshal fees; whether defendant waived right to raise claim as to fees on appeal; whether trial court erred in imposing compensatory fines on defendant without any evidence as to actual damages suffered by plaintiff.	
Northrup v. Witkowski	223
Negligence; recklessness; whether trial court properly granted motion for summary judgment on ground of governmental immunity; whether allegations that defendant town officials failed to maintain and repair storm drains involved discretionary acts for which defendants were entitled to governmental immunity pursuant to statute (§ 52-557n [a] [2] [B]); claim that genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether town ordinance created ministerial duty; claim that identifiable person-imminent harm exception to discretionary act immunity applied;	220
whether plaintiffs demonstrated that harm alleged was imminent; whether counts alleging recklessness by individual town officials could be maintained as matter of law when record did not support finding that any of individual defendants acted or failed to act with type of wanton disregard that is hallmark of reckless behavior.	400
Procaccini v. Lawrence & Memorial Hospital, Inc.  Medical malpractice; claim that defendant was vicariously liable for medical malpractice of physician in treating decedent for suspected drug overdose where physician failed to keep decedent under medical monitoring for twenty-four hour period; whether there was sufficient evidence supporting jury's finding that defendant's negligence caused decedent's death; whether jury had before it sufficient evidence from which it could have inferred, without resorting to speculation, that decedent had consumed fatal dose of methadone before she was brought to hospital emergency department; credibility of witnesses; conflicting expert testimony; claim that it was improper for jury to consider testimony of plaintiff's expert on standard of care concerning issue of causation; claim that because plaintiff failed to present evidence demonstrating that decedent would have been admitted to hospital had physician not discharged decedent from emergency department, jury could not reasonably have found that defendant caused decedent's death; whether, to prove causation, plaintiff needed to show only that decedent could have been monitored sufficiently for twenty-four hours; whether trial court abused discretion in refusing to set aside jury's award of damages for destruction of decedent's capacity to carry on and enjoy life's activities; whether plaintiff presented sufficient evidence of decedent's life expectancy.  Questell v. Farogh.	692
Negligence; whether trial court abused discretion in denying motion to open and set aside default judgment; whether court reasonably could have concluded that plaintiff was not prevented from attending trial management conference as result of mistake, accident or other reasonable cause.	
Renaissance Management Co. v. Barnes	681

§ 47a-20 (2); whether failure of this court to determine issue would give rise to prejudicial collateral consequences to landlords in future summary process cases.	
Rockstone Capital, LLC v. Sanzo	770
mortgage and allowed defendants to assert homestead exemption to consensual lien and judgment liens no longer part of action; claim that trial court erred in rendering judgment of foreclosure on judgment liens because plaintiff amended its complaint to seek foreclosure solely on mortgage.	
Rose B. v. Dawson	800
Application for civil protection order; reviewability of claim that trial court abused	000
discretion in granting application for civil protection order where record did not contain either memorandum of decision or transcribed copy of oral decision signed by trial court stating reasons for decision as required by rule of practice (§ 64-1 [a]); whether trial court abused discretion in denying request for continuance and reconsideration; whether trial court properly determined that claim of lack of notice was not timely made by defendant where defendant did not assert that she was prejudiced by lack of specificity in application until after court announced ruling that was adverse to defendant.	
Salters v. Commissioner of Correction	807
Habeas corpus; reviewability of claim that habeas court erred in failing to apply strict standard of materiality to claim of violation of Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 83); claim that court erred in denying claim that petitioner's first habeas counsel was ineffective in failing to raise claim that petitioner's trial counsel	001
provided ineffective assistance by not objecting to improper jury instructions; whether it was improper for trial court to include full statutory definition of intent in charge to jury where petitioner had been charged with specific intent crimes only; whether it was reasonably possible jury was misled by improper	
jury instruction or that petitioner was harmed thereby, whether record supported habeas court's determination that appellate counsel's decision to forgo claim of prosecutorial impropriety on direct appeal was reasonable strategic decision.	
Sanchez v. Edson Mfg	105
Workers' compensation; whether Workers' Compensation Review Board properly	
affirmed decision of Workers' Compensation Commissioner denying plaintiff certain disability benefits; whether board properly determined that commissioner's findings concerning cause and extent of plaintiff's disability were supported by sufficient underlying facts; whether board properly found that opinion of medical expert was competent medical evidence on which commissioner properly relied in reaching decision; claim that this court should give less deference to commissioner's credibility determinations where medical examiners did not testify before commissioner; whether board abused discretion in not remanding matter for articulation as to why commissioner disregarded medical opinion of expert chosen by commissioner.	
Sosa v. Commissioner of Correction	831
Sovereign immunity; qualified immunity; whether defendant Department of Correction employees wrongfully revoked incarcerated plaintiff's visitation privileges; whether there was final judgment against defendants in official capacities where trial court denied motion to dismiss claims for declaratory and injunctive relief against defendants in official capacities; whether this court lacked jurisdiction over appeal from judgment dismissing claims for monetary damages against defendants in official capacities; claim that trial court improperly dismissed claims for monetary, declaratory and injunctive relief against defendants in individual capacities; reviewability of claim that court improperly determined	
that qualified immunity barred claims against defendants in individual capacities; whether court properly dismissed claims against defendants in individual capacities for insufficient service of process.	500
State v. Bozelko	599
motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that because presentence investigation report utilized by sentencing court contained material and harmful misrepresentations about defendant, defendant's sentence was based on inaccurate and misleading information in violation of defendant's due process rights; whether	
defendant failed to establish either that such misrepresentation in report was material to sentencing or that sentencing court actually relied on misrepresenta-	

tion; failure to file motion for articulation; whether defendant was precluded from presenting mitigating evidence to court.	00
State v. Franklin.  Murder; attempt to commit robbery in first degree; conspiracy to commit robbery in first degree; criminal possession of firearm; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction of murder; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction of criminal possession of firearm; claim that trial court abused discretion when it admitted certain uncharged misconduct evidence; claim that prosecutor's allegedly improper comments during closing argument to jury violated defendant's right to fair trial.	22
	789
Violation of probation; claim that trial court did not have subject matter jurisdiction over probation violation proceeding because defendant was not on probation at time of his subsequent arrest or hearing on motion to dismiss; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to dismiss violation of probation charge because he had completed three year probationary portion of his original sentence prior to time that arrest warrant for violation of probation was issued.	
	838
Sexual assault in fourth degree; whether trial court abused discretion in precluding defendant from cross-examining complainant with respect to mental state or psychiatric history; whether court properly determined that complainant's testimony that she had ingested medication for anxiety that had been prescribed by physician was not sufficient foundation for further inquiry in presence of jury into whether complainant was under care of psychiatrist; whether court violated defendant's sixth amendment right to present defense and to confront accuser when it prohibited him from presenting evidence purporting to show that complainant had solicited bribe from defendant's wife; whether proffered testimony of wife provided reasonable basis for jury to infer that complainant attempted to solicit money from wife; whether proffered testimony was relevant to assessment of complainant; whether exclusion of proffered testimony was harmless error; reviewability of claim that court improperly admitted evidence of complainant's demeanor.	
	566
Robbery second degree; conspiracy to commit robbery second degree; sexual assault fourth degree; breach of peace second degree; whether trial court improperly dismissed motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that defendant's constitutional right against double jeopardy was violated as result of imposition of separate sentences for conviction of two counts of second degree robbery that stemmed from single incident but were prosecuted under different subdivisions of statute ([Rev. to 2007] § 53a-135 [a] [1] and [2]) governing second degree robbery; whether conviction of two counts of second degree robbery arose out of same act or transaction; whether each robbery offense required proof of fact that other did not; whether § 53a-135 contained language indicating legislature's intent to bar multiple punishments for perpetrators of second degree robbery who, in committing such offenses, violate multiple subdivisions of statute; whether claim that two sentences were improperly imposed for one incident of second degree robbery was procedurally proper double jeopardy claim over which court had jurisdiction on motion to correct; whether court should have denied, rather than dismissed, motion to correct illegal sentence.	400
	409
Assault first degree as accessory; conspiracy to commit assault first degree; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction of assault first degree as accessory; whether evidence was sufficient for jury to have found beyond reasonable doubt that defendant aided principal shooter to cause victim physical injury by discharge of firearm and that defendant intended that principal commit assault first degree; whether conviction of conspiracy to commit assault first degree was supported by sufficient evidence; whether jury reasonably could have found that defendant entered into agreement to commit assault first degree and that defendant intended that member of conspiracy would cause physical injury to victim by means of discharge of firearm; reviewability of claim that trial court abused discretion by admitting uncharged misconduct drug evidence on ground of relevance where defendant did not object on that ground at trial; reviewability of claim that uncharged misconduct evidence concerning other shooting should not have been admitted because it was not relevant to defendant's motive or intent	

that probative value of other misconduct evidence outweighed prejudicial effect; reviewability of claim that defendant's constitutional rights were violated when state used peremptory challenge to strike minority juror without providing suffi-	
cient race neutral explanation.	
State v. Soto	739
State v. Torres	138
Murder; carrying pistol without permit; whether first time in-court identification of defendant as shooter made by eyewitness violated defendant's right to due process and should have been excluded pursuant to State v. Dickson (322 Conn. 410), where eyewitness was unable to make reliable identification of defendant in nonsuggestive out-of-court procedure prior to trial; whether defendant waived claim that first time in-court identification of him as shooter by eyewitness violated right to due process and should have been excluded; whether record was adequate for this court to determine that in-court identification of defendant was unreliable; whether admission of identification was harmless beyond reasonable doubt.	190
State v. Walton	642
Robbery first degree; larceny second degree; assault on elderly person third degree; prosecutorial impropriety; claim that certain comments made by prosecutor during rebuttal closing argument constituted improper vouching and misstatements of law; whether subject comments were proper request for jurors to use common sense and to draw reasonable inferences from evidence in assessing credibility of witnesses.	
Stratford v. Hawley Enterprises, Inc	369
Eminent domain; appeal from taking by eminent domain of real property; whether trial court improperly determined that plaintiff town was entitled to recover back taxes owed to it on parcel from condemnation award; claim that town was not entitled to recover back taxes because it failed to claim interest in condemnation award in statement of compensation, as required by statute (§ 8-129 [a] [3] and [b]); whether purpose of notice provisions of § 8-129 was satisfied; whether defendant first mortgagor demonstrated that it was harmed by statement of compensation; whether it was improper for trial court to have cited foreclosure law, by analogy, for purpose of determining priority of tax lien.	
Stratford v. LeBlanc	362
Foreclosure; municipal tax liens; default for failure to appear; whether trial court abused discretion in denying motions to open judgments of foreclosure by sale; whether defendant established, pursuant to statute (§ 52-212 [a]) governing opening of judgment rendered on default, that good defense existed at time judgments were rendered and that he was prevented by mistake, accident or other reasonable cause from presenting defense; whether trial court could have found that defendant did not have reasonable cause to fail to file appearances prior to defaults; reviewability of claim that good defense existed at time that judgments were rendered; whether party seeking to open default judgment must show, pursuant to § 52-212 (a), both that good defense existed and that party was prevented by mistake, accident or other reasonable cause from presenting defense.	
TD Bank, N.A. v. Salce	757
Promissory note; personal jurisdiction; promissory estoppel; claim that trial court erred in denying defendant's motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction due to insufficient service of process as required by statute (§ 52-59b [c]); claim that trial court improperly rendered summary judgment in favor of plaintiff because defendant's special defense of promissory estoppel, which alleged that plaintiff was estopped from prosecuting action due to its failure or refusal to issue promised documents after agreeing to note modification, raised genuine issue of material fact.	
Tilus v. Commissioner of Correction	336
Habeas corpus; claim that trial counsel's joint representation of petitioner and accomplice in pretrial phase presented conflict of interest and that there was no valid variver of potential conflict in violation of petitioner's constitutional right	

actual conflict of interest existed; whether habeas court properly determined that petitioner failed to prove that he was prejudiced by any potential conflict created by dual representation; whether habeas court properly determined that petitioner was not denied constitutional right to effective assistance of trial counsel; whether habeas court properly concluded that trial counsel's performance was deficient in that he failed to conduct timely investigation of charges against petitioner; whether petitioner was prejudiced by trial counsel's deficient performance.  Torres v. Commissioner of Correction	460
credits; whether habeas court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over claim.	50
Village Mortgage Co. v. Veneziano Injunction; alleged misappropriation of corporate funds through conversion, statutory theft, and embezzlement; statute of limitations; claim that trial court's factual findings were clearly erroneous; reviewability of claims challenging discovery rulings of trial court; credibility determinations; whether trial court improperly denied motion for discovery of information; claim that trial court improperly failed to conclude that plaintiff intentionally spoliated evidence or engaged in discovery misconduct; claim that trial court improperly concluded that three year statute of limitations (§ 52-577) was not tolled by doctrine of fraudulent concealment; claim that knowledge of corporation can only be imputed through board of directors.	59
Washburne v. Madison	613
Negligence; action for damages for injuries to third grade student while playing soccer in physical education class; claim that safety guideline in physical education guide of defendant board of education indicating that students should wear shin guards for additional protection created ministerial duty; claim that, even if defendants' acts or omissions were discretionary in nature, there remained genuine issue of material fact as to whether student had been subject to imminent harm and, thus, fell within identifiable person/imminent harm exception to governmental immunity; whether foreseeability of injury can demonstrate that harm is imminent without also showing that probability that injury will occur from dangerous condition is high enough to necessitate that defendants act to prevent it.	
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Henderson.  Foreclosure; whether trial court improperly granted motion for summary judgment as to liability; claim that plaintiff failed to demonstrate standing to foreclose because it had not been assigned mortgage and note until after action commenced; whether affidavit stating that plaintiff was holder of note and copy of note were sufficient to establish, for summary judgment purposes, standing to foreclose; whether court properly summarily disposed of amended special defenses that substantively were nearly identical to ones previously stricken; whether defendant was deprived of evidentiary hearing on issue of standing; whether defendant failed to establish genuine issue of material fact as to whether plaintiff had standing to foreclose; whether defendant was deprived of due process as to several motions and request filled during litigation; whether defendant was provided full and fair opportunity to present counterarguments to motion for summary judgment as to liability; reviewability of claim that defendant was prevented from presenting oral argument on motion to dismiss; whether defendant submitted no proof to rebut jurisdictional allegations in plaintiff's complaint; whether defendant was deprived of oral argument on motion for continuance; whether court had discretion to deny motion to reargue without hearing.	474
Windsor Federal Savings & Loan Assn. v. Reliable Mechanical Contractors, LLC Contracts; whether individual defendant, who was no longer defendant to complaint when trial court rendered final judgment on complaint, was aggrieved and had	651
and it was court retraction from faugment on comparint, was aggineded and made	

standing to appeal from final judgment on complaint; whether this court lacked jurisdiction for lack of final judgment over appeal challenging trial court's dismissal of counterclaim; whether trial court improperly granted motion for summary judgment; whether defendant raised genuine issue of material fact as to whether guarantee was signed by defendant; whether trial court improperly resolved contested fact in granting motion for summary judgment; whether claim that trial court improperly dismissed counterclaim on ground that it was barred by statute of limitations was moot where there still existed another unchallenged ground on which trial court based judgment.