## Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Appellate Reports Volume 185

Boria v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)	901
Carolina v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)	902
Dahle v. Stop & Shop Supermarket Co., LLC	71
Workers' compensation; appeal from decision of Compensation Review Board affirming decision of Workers' Compensation Commissioner dismissing plain-	
tiff's claim that she was entitled to temporary total disability benefits without	
social security offset pursuant to statute ([Rev. to 2003] § 31-307 [e]); claim	
that plaintiff should have been awarded benefits without social security offset	
because errors and delays by commissioner and board resulted in delay in	
obtaining compensation, which made her subject to offset; whether board erred	
by refusing to address plaintiff's attempt to correct past incorrect evidence and	
to introduce new evidence to prove that delays beyond her control made her subject	
to social security offset; whether board erred by failing to address commissioner's	
alleged statement that plaintiff's medical treatment was delayed; whether board	
erred in affirming commissioner's denial of plaintiff's request for financial	
compensation without social security offset.	53
Dubinsky v. Black	53
Legal malpractice; malicious prosecution; risk of injury to child; whether trial court erred in granting motion for summary judgment on ground that there was	
probable cause to charge plaintiff with crimes of assault and risk of injury to	
child; claim that arresting officers lacked probable cause in light of parental	
justification defense under statute (§ 53a-18 [1]); claim that trial court erred	
in granting motion for summary judgment because arresting officers fabricated	
claim that defendant left red welts on son's backside; whether plaintiff could	
demonstrate that he would have been entitled to judgment in malicious prosecu-	
tion action against arresting officers but for defendant's professional negligence.	
State $v$ . Carter (Memorandum Decision)	902
State v. Milledge (Memorandum Decision)	901
State v. Papantoniou	93
Felony murder; burglary in first degree; criminal possession of firearm; unpreserved	
claim that prosecutor's alleged generic tailoring argument in closing remarks to	
jury violated defendant's rights under state constitution to be present at trial	
and to confront witnesses against him; claim that certain comments of prosecutor	
violated defendant's rights to due process and fair trial; claim that prosecutor's alleged generic tailoring remarks deprived defendant of general due process right	
to fair trial.	
State v. Ruiz-Pacheco	1
Assault in first degree; attempt to commit murder; conspiracy to commit assault	-
in first degree; unpreserved claim that conviction of two counts each of assault	
in first degree as principal and as accessory violated defendant's right against	
double jeopardy; claim that conviction of accessory counts should be vacated;	
whether acts of stabbing victims were susceptible of separation into distinct	
$criminal\ acts\ for\ which\ defendant\ could\ be\ punished\ without\ violating\ principles$	
$of double {\it jeopardy}; whether {\it jury reasonably could have determined that defendant}$	
was guilty as principal actor for stab or stabs he inflicted on one victim and as	
accessorial actor for intentionally aiding in nearly simultaneous stab or stabs	
defendant's brother inflicted on same victim; unpreserved claim that trial court's	
jury instructions on attempted murder deprived defendant of fair trial, where court utilized phrase, "engaged in anything," in three instances, read full statu-	
tory definition of general and specific intent, and allegedly failed to adequately	
define substantial step element for attempt; whether separate claims of error	
taken together deprived defendant of fair trial; unpreserved claim that trial court	
improperly instructed jury on defenses of self-defense and defense of others, and	
on lesser included offenses of assault in second degree and assault in third degree	
because court's instructions on self-defense permitted jury to consider lesser	
included offenses if state failed to disprove self-defense beyond reasonable doubt;	
waiver of right to challenge jury instructions; whether jury instructions consti-	
tuted obvious and undebatable error so as to establish manifest injustice or	

fundamental unfairness pursuant to plain error doctrine; claim that multiple instances of prosecutorial impropriety during closing arguments deprived defendant of fair trial; whether prosecutor argued facts that were not in evidence or improperly appealed to emotions of jurors.	
Varoglu v. Sciarrino	84
Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court improperly found that plaintiff had	
purchased condominium by using funds from loan that was secured by marital	
home; claim that trial court improperly failed to award plaintiff more than 40	
percent of net proceeds from sale of marital home; claim that trial court, in	
fashioning orders pertaining to distribution of equity in marital home, failed	
to adequately take into account plaintiff's role in preserving marital property.	
Wiggins $v$ . Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)	901
,	