

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Reports
Volume 326

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Abreu v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	901
Brenmor Properties, LLC v. Planning & Zoning Commission	55
<i>Zoning; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court properly sustained plaintiff developer's administrative appeal from defendant planning and zoning commission's denial of application for affordable housing subdivision pursuant to statute (§ 8-30g); whether, in light of commission's concession regarding applicable standard of review, trial court abused its discretion by remanding matter with direction to approve plaintiff's application as presented; standard of review applicable to trial court's affordable housing remedy under § 8-30g, discussed.</i>	
Brian S. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	904
Brown v. Njoku (Order)	901
Fairfield Merrittview Ltd. Partnership v. Norwalk (Order)	901
Federal National Mortgage Assn. v. Lawson (Order)	902
Giuca v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	903
Middlebury v. Connecticut Siting Council	40
<i>Administrative appeal; whether trial court properly dismissed plaintiffs' appeal from decision of defendant siting council granting petition to open and modify certificate for operation of electric generating facility; whether trial court improperly determined that council adequately had considered neighborhood concerns as required by statute (§ 16-50p [c] [1]) in granting petition; statutory construction, discussed; claim that trial court improperly concluded that plaintiffs had abandoned their due process and substantial evidence claims due to inadequate briefing; whether plaintiffs' claim that trial court improperly concluded that plaintiffs had abandoned due process and substantial evidence claims due to inadequate briefing was moot because plaintiffs failed to challenge on appeal trial court's alternative conclusions rejecting those claims on merits.</i>	
MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order)	905
O'Brien v. O'Brien	81
<i>Marital dissolution; motion for contempt for plaintiff's purported violation of court's automatic orders effective during pendency of dissolution proceeding and appeal from judgment of dissolution on basis of certain stock transactions that plaintiff executed without defendant's consent or court order; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that trial court improperly had considered, in making its financial orders, plaintiff's violations of automatic orders stemming from his decision to conduct certain stock transactions; whether court may remedy harm caused by another party's violation of court order, even without finding of contempt; claim that trial court's financial award was erroneous because it was excessive and based on improper method for valuing loss to marital estate; whether court had discretion to consider value that stocks and options would have had at time of remand trial; claim, as alternative ground for affirming Appellate Court's judgment, that plaintiff's stock transactions did not violate automatic orders because those transactions were made in usual course of business; whether trial court's conclusion that stock options plaintiff exercised were marital property subject to distribution between parties was clearly erroneous; claim, as alternative ground for affirming Appellate Court's judgment, that trial court's award of retroactive alimony was improper because it purportedly required plaintiff to pay arrearage out his share of marital assets, thereby effectively reducing his share of property distribution.</i>	
State v. Caballero (Order)	903
State v. Kallberg	1
<i>Larceny third degree as accessory; conspiracy to commit larceny third degree; motion to dismiss; certification to appeal; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to dismiss charges; whether Appellate Court improperly concluded that trial court's factual finding as to</i>	

<i>parties' intent was clearly erroneous; whether Appellate Court properly reversed judgment of conviction on ground that prosecution of defendant was barred because nolle prosequi that had been entered on larceny charges had been part of global disposition agreement supported by consideration; unilateral entry of nolle prosequi and bilateral agreement involving entry of nolle prosequi, distinguished; claim that ambiguity in agreement between state and defendant must be construed against state.</i>	
State v. Linder (Order)	902
State v. Seeley	65
<i>Forgery second degree; supervisory authority over administration of justice; claim that waiver rule should be abandoned in context of bench trials; whether state presented sufficient evidence that defendant forged signature during purchase of automobile; whether state presented sufficient evidence that defendant acted with intent to deceive.</i>	
State v. Sinclair (Order)	904
State v. Snowden (Order)	903
U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Walbert (Order)	902
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Monaco (Order)	905