## Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Reports Volume 326

## (Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Abreu $v$ . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	901 909
Barton v. Norwalk	139
Inverse condemnation; certification from Appellate Court; whether defendant city's	
condemnation of parking lot used by tenants substantially destroyed plaintiff	
property owner's use and enjoyment of subject property; whether claim of highest	
and best use in previous direct condemnation proceeding barred claim of inverse	
condemnation predicated on different use under doctrine of judicial estoppel.	
Brenmor Properties, LLC v. Planning & Zoning Commission	55
Zoning; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court properly sustained plaintiff developer's administrative appeal from defendant planning and zoning commission's denial of application for affordable housing subdivision pursuant to statute (§ 8-30g); whether, in	
light of commission's concession regarding applicable standard of review, trial	
court abused its discretion by remanding matter with direction to approve plain-	
tiff's application as presented; standard of review applicable to trial court's	
affordable housing remedy under § 8-30g, discussed.	
Brian S. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	904
Brown v. Njoku (Order)	901
Channing Real Estate, LLC v. Gates	123
Action to recover on promissory notes; motion to preclude certain evidence; claim	
that, although Appellate Court properly concluded that parol evidence rule barred	
introduction of extrinsic evidence to vary terms of notes, that court improperly	
remanded case for new trial rather than directing judgment for plaintiff and	
restricting proceedings on remand to hearing in damages; parol evidence rule,	
discussed; claim that defendant lacked standing to pursue claim alleging violation	
of Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (§ 42-110a et seq.); whether member	
of limited liability company has standing to bring action on basis of injury	
allegedly suffered by limited liability company.	
Fairfield Merrittview Ltd. Partnership $v$ . Norwalk (Order)	901
Federal National Mortgage Assn. v. Lawson (Order)	902
Giuca v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	903
Green v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	907
Hull v. Hull (Order)	909
Keller v. Keller (Order)	912
Middlebury v. Connecticut Siting Council	40
Administrative appeal; whether trial court properly dismissed plaintiffs' appeal	
from decision of defendant siting council granting petition to open and modify	
$certificate for operation \ of \ electric \ generating \ facility; whether \ trial \ court \ improp-$	
erly determined that council adequately had considered neighborhood concerns as	
$required\ by\ statute\ (\S\ 16\text{-}50p\ [c]\ [1])\ in\ granting\ petition;\ statutory\ construction,$	
discussed; claim that trial court improperly concluded that plaintiffs had aban-	
doned their due process and substantial evidence claims due to inadequate brief-	
$ing; whether \ plaintiffs'\ claim\ that\ trial\ court\ improperly\ concluded\ that\ plaintiffs$	
had abandoned due process and substantial evidence claims due to inadequate	
briefing was moot because plaintiffs failed to challenge on appeal trial court's	
alternative conclusions rejecting those claims on merits.	
MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order)	905
New Haven Parking Authority v. Long Wharf Realty Corp. (Order)	912
O'Brien v. O'Brien	81
Marital dissolution; motion for contempt for plaintiff's purported violation of court's	
automatic orders effective during pendency of dissolution proceeding and appeal	
from judgment of dissolution on basis of certain stock transactions that plaintiff	
executed without defendant's consent or court order; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that trial court improperly	

had considered, in making its financial orders, plaintiff's violations of automatic orders stemming from his decision to conduct certain stock transactions; whether court may remedy harm caused by another party's violation of court order, even without finding of contempt; claim that trial court's financial award was erroneous because it was excessive and based on improper method for valuing loss to marital estate; whether court had discretion to consider value that stocks and options would have had at time of remand trial; claim, as alternative ground for affirming Appellate Court's judgment, that plaintiff's stock transactions did not violate automatic orders because those transactions were made in usual course of business; whether trial court's conclusion that stock options plaintiff exercised were marital property subject to distribution between parties was clearly erroneous; claim, as alternative ground for affirming Appellate Court's judgment, that trial court's award of retroactive alimony was improper because it purportedly required plaintiff to pay arrearage out his share of marital assets, thereby effectively reducing his share of property distribution.

u parporteaty required paintiff to pay arrearage out his share of marital assets,	
thereby effectively reducing his share of property distribution.	
	911
	907
Rosa v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	905
	908
	907
	903
State v. Crenshaw (Order)	911
	912
State v. Kallberg	1
Larceny third degree as accessory; conspiracy to commit larceny third degree; motion	
to dismiss; certification to appeal; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded	
that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to dismiss charges; whether	
Appellate Court improperly concluded that trial court's factual finding as to	
parties' intent was clearly erroneous; whether Appellate Court properly reversed	
judgment of conviction on ground that prosecution of defendant was barred	
because nolle prosequi that had been entered on larceny charges had been part	
of global disposition agreement supported by consideration; unilateral entry of	
nolle prosequi and bilateral agreement involving entry of nolle prosequi, distin-	
guished; claim that ambiguity in agreement between state and defendant must	
be construed against state.	
	909
	902
	911
State v. Navarro (Orders)	910
	908
	906
State <i>v</i> . Seeley	65
Forgery second degree; supervisory authority over administration of justice; claim	
that waiver rule should be abandoned in context of bench trials; whether state	
presented sufficient evidence that defendant forged signature during purchase	
of automobile; whether state presented sufficient evidence that defendant acted	
with intent to deceive.	
State v. Sinclair (Order)	904
State v. Snowden (Order)	903
U.S. Bank National Assn. v. Nelson (Order)	908
	902
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Monaco (Order)	905
	906