Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Reports Volume 326

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Abreu v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	901 668
Habeas corpus; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly reversed judgment of habeas court dismissing petition on basis that habeas	
court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over petitioner's claim that his allegedly	
erroneous classification as sex offender implicated protected liberty interest;	
claim by respondent Commissioner of Correction that petitioner's allegations	
that he was incorrectly classified as sex offender and that he suffered negative	
consequences as result of that erroneous classification were not sufficient to	
establish protected liberty interest; stigma plus test used by federal courts, dis-	
cussed; claim that allegations of habeas petition demonstrated that allegedly	
improper sex offender classification stigmatized petitioner, and that conse-	
quences suffered by petitioner for refusing sex offender treatment were qualita- tively different from punishments usually suffered by prisoners, such that they	
constituted major change in conditions of confinement amounting to grievous	
loss.	
Antwon W. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	909
Barton v. Norwalk.	139
Inverse condemnation; certification from Appellate Court; whether defendant city's	
condemnation of parking lot used by tenants substantially destroyed plaintiff	
property owner's use and enjoyment of subject property; whether claim of highest	
and best use in previous direct condemnation proceeding barred claim of inverse	
condemnation predicated on different use under doctrine of judicial estoppel.	
Brenmor Properties, LLC v. Planning & Zoning Commission	55
Zoning; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly con-	
cluded that trial court properly sustained plaintiff developer's administrative appeal from defendant planning and zoning commission's denial of application	
for affordable housing subdivision pursuant to statute (§ 8-30g); whether, in	
light of commission's concession regarding applicable standard of review, trial	
court abused its discretion by remanding matter with direction to approve plain-	
tiff's application as presented; standard of review applicable to trial court's	
affordable housing remedy under § 8-30g, discussed.	
Brian S. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	904
Brown v. Njoku (Order)	901
Channing Real Estate, LLC v. Gates	123
Action to recover on promissory notes; motion to preclude certain evidence; claim	
that, although Appellate Court properly concluded that parol evidence rule barred	
introduction of extrinsic evidence to vary terms of notes, that court improperly remanded case for new trial rather than directing judgment for plaintiff and	
restricting proceedings on remand to hearing in damages; parol evidence rule,	
discussed; claim that defendant lacked standing to pursue claim alleging violation	
of Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (§ 42-110a et seq.); whether member	
of limited liability company has standing to bring action on basis of inju	
allegedly suffered by limited liability company.	
Chief Disciplinary Counsel v. Rozbicki	686
Attorney presentment; appeal from judgment of trial court suspending defendant	
attorney from practice of law; finding that defendant violated Rules of Profes-	
sional Conduct by accusing Superior Court judges of bias, prejudice, and partial-	
ity in previous civil proceedings; claim that trial court violated defendant's right	
to due process by admitting certain evidence regarding prior misconduct; whether doctrines of res judicata and collateral estoppel barred allegations in presentment	
complaint; whether trial court's findings of professional misconduct were sup-	
ported by clear and convincing evidence; whether trial court abused its discretion	
by ordering that defendant be suspended from practice of law for period of	
four years.	

913
419
901
902
913
438
190
903
907
909
614
480
388
912
638

trial court correctly interpreted decision in Northrop v. Allstate Ins. Co. (247 Conn. 242); manifest disregard of law as ground for vacating arbitration award, discussed.	
Machado v. Taylor	396
Maio v. New Haven	708
Indemnification; action by police officer pursuant to statute (§ 53-39a) for indemnification of economic losses sustained in defense of unsuccessful prosecution for crimes allegedly committed in course of duty; claim that trial court improperly relied on workers' compensation principles when it instructed jury on meaning of phrase "in the course of his duty" in § 53-39a; whether defendant's unpreserved claim of instructional impropriety was reviewable; whether trial court improperly excluded prior testimony of complainants from criminal trial offered pursuant to Connecticut Code of Evidence (§ 8-6 [1]) when it determined that complainants were not unavailable witnesses; whether trial court incorrectly required defendant to depose complainants as precondition to admission of prior testimony; whether trial court could properly rely on counsel's representations regarding complainants' unavailability to testify.	
Maturo v. State Employees Retirement Commission	160
Administrative appeal; whether defendant State Employees Retirement Commission properly interpreted statutory provision (§ 7-438 [b]) of Municipal Employees' Retirement Act (§ 7-425 et seq.) to bar retired member from collecting pension while he was reemployed by municipality in nonparticipating position; statutory framework of act establishing and governing municipal employees retirement system, discussed; claim that position of mayor of East Haven did not constitute employment and mayor was not employee for purposes of act; claim that § 7-438 (b) evidences legislative intent to preclude member from receiving pension only while reemployed in position designated by town as participating in retirement system; whether statute (§ 7-432 [g]) providing for application to medical examining board for reconsideration of eligibility for disability retirement was applicable to plaintiff's claim; claim that legislature acquiesced in defendant's prior interpretation of act; claim that trial court should have deferred to nonbinding opinion letter of attorney general recommending that defendant not deviate from prior interpretation of act, specifically its interpretation of § 7-438 (b), in absence of further legislative direction.	
Micek-Holt v. Papageorge (Order)	915
Middlebury v. Connecticut Siting Council	40
ů ů	540
Munn v. Hotchkiss School	540
Negrigence; claim that defending school had been negrigent in failing to warn plaintiff student and her parents of risk of exposure to tick-borne encephalitis in connection with school sponsored educational trip to China, and in failing to ensure that plaintiff took protective measures against insect bites to prevent contracting that disease; certified questions from Second Circuit Court of Appeals as to whether Connecticut public policy supported imposing duty on school to warn about or to protect against foreseeable risk of serious insect-born disease when it organized trip abroad, and whether damages award warranted remittitur; whether normal	

 $expectations\ of\ participants\ in\ school\ sponsored\ trip\ abroad\ supported\ imposi-$

tion of duty on defendant to warn about and to protect against serious insect- borne diseases; claim that recognizing duty would have chilling effect on educa- tional travel and will lead to increased litigation; claim that rarity of tick-borne encephalitis precluded finding that defendant had duty to warn or to protect.	
MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order)	905
New Haven Parking Authority v . Long Wharf Realty Corp. (Order)	912
O'Brien v. O'Brien	81
Perez v. Commissioner of Correction	3577
PMG Land Associates, L.P. v. Harbour Landing Condominium Assn. (Order) Powell-Ferri v. Ferri	911 457
Reese v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	907

Rosa v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	905
St. Pierre v. Plainfield.	420
Negligence; whether municipal immunity of defendant town had been abrogated by exception under statute (§ 52-577n [a] [1] [B]) providing that municipality can be held liable for damages caused by negligence in performance of proprietary function from which it derived special corporate profit or pecuniary benefit; whether identifiable person, imminent harm exception to municipal immunity applied; claim that trial court incorrectly concluded that town was immune from liability; whether town's operation of municipal pool constituted governmental function from which it derived special corporate profit or pecuniary benefit. Shipman v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	908
Spiotti v. Wolcott	190
Employment discrimination; whether plaintiff's claims previously decided adversely to her by State Board of Mediation and Arbitration pursuant to collective bargaining agreement barred by doctrine of collateral estoppel; whether this court should overrule Genovese v. Gallo Wine Merchants, Inc. (226 Conn. 475); claim that Genovese should be overruled because it relied on legislative history to interpret statute (§ 31-51bb) pertaining to right of employee covered by collective bargaining agreement to pursue cause of action, and legislature subsequently enacted statute (§ 1-2z) requiring courts to interpret statutes pursuant to plain meaning rule; claim that this court should depart from principles of stare decisis and overrule Genovese.	
	405
Sexual assault first degree; risk of injury to child; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court had not abused its discretion in admitting twelve year old uncharged sexual misconduct evidence; whether uncharged sexual misconduct evidence was too remote and insufficiently similar to be admissible pursuant to State v. DeJesus (288 Conn. 418); public policy concerns justifying admission of prior uncharged sexual misconduct, discussed.	400
State v. Baccala	232
Breach of peace second degree; claim that, because evidence was insufficient to support conviction of breach of peace second degree on basis of words that did not fall within narrow category of unprotected fighting words, conviction constituted violation of first amendment to federal constitution; scope and application of fighting words exception to protections of first amendment, discussed; whether words spoken by defendant under circumstances in which they were uttered were likely to provoke violent response.	
State v. Bonds (Order)	907
State v. Caballero (Order)	903
State v. Chankar (Order)	914
State v. Crenshaw (Order)	911
State v. Fay	742
declined to examine homicide victim's privileged psychiatric records, which were	
protected by statutory (§§ 52-146d and 52-146e) psychiatrist-patient privilege,	
or to consider testimony by victim's psychiatrist in camera; claim that record was inadequate for review, under State v. Golding (213 Conn. 233), of defendant's	
unpreserved claims of constitutional error; whether and, if so, when defendant	
in homicide case who raises claim of self-defense is entitled to in camera review	
of victim's psychiatric records; psychiatrist-patient privilege, discussed; stan-	
dard for in camera review of witness' psychiatric records in State v. Esposito (192 Conn. 166), discussed. State v. Henderson (Order)	014
State v. Henry D. (Order)	914 912
State v. Houghtaling.	330
Possession of marijuana with intent to sell; possession of more than four ounces of	
marijuana; motion to suppress; conditional plea of nolo contendere; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that defendant	
lacked standing to challenge warrantless search of property because he lacked subjective expectation of privacy; proper standard for determining whether	
defendant has subjective expectation of privacy in property subject to warrantless	
search, discussed; claim that defendant's confession to police was fruit of unlawful	
stop of defendant in his vehicle and his subsequent warrantless arrest; whether	

State v. Jerzy G. 206 Application for pretrial program of accelerated rehabilitation pursuant to statute (§ 54-56e): sexual assault fourth degree; motion to dismiss; certification from Appellate Court; mothers, whether Appellate Court; mothers was reasonable possibility of prejudicial collateral consequences decirne, discussed; whether there was reasonable possibility of prejudicial collateral consequences resulting from trial court's orders terminating accelerated rehabilitation and ordering rearrest; claim that defendant must evince intention to reenter country in order to raise existence of collateral consequences above mere speculation. State v. Kalberg. 1 Larceny third degree as accessory; conspiracy to commit tarceny third degree; motion to dismiss; certification to appeal; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to dismiss; charges; whether Appellate Court improperly concluded that trial court improperly concluded that prosecution of defendant was barred because nole prosequi that had been entered on larceny charges had been part of global disposition agreement supported by consideration; unilateral entry of nolle prosequi and bilateral agreement involving entry of solle prosequi, distinguished; claim that ambiguity in agreement between state and defendant must be construed against state. State v. Kelley. 7 Violation of probation; probation revocation; certification from Appellate Court; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to revoke defendant's probation because court did not resolve probation violation charge until after defendant's original probation term was scheduled to expire; whether Appellate Court correctly determined that trial court had subject matter jurisdiction when it revoked defendant's probation; whether, under probation violation interrupted running of his probation term was scheduled to expir	police had reasonable and articulable suspicion that defendant was involved in marijuana grow operation on property; whether police had probable cause to arrest defendant after stop of his vehicle; State v. Boyd (57 Conn. App. 176), to extent that it requires defendant, in order to establish subjective expectation of privacy, to show certain facts pertaining to his relationship with property and that he maintained property in private manner, overruled.	20.0
Larceny third degree as accessory; conspiracy to commit larceny third degree; motion to dismiss; certification to appeal; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to dismiss charges; whether Appellate Court improperly concluded that trial court's factual finding as to parties' intent was clearly erroneous; whether Appellate Court properly preversed judgment of conviction on ground that prosecution of defendant was barred because nolle prosequi that had been entered on larceny charges had been part of global disposition agreement supported by consideration; unilateral entry of nolle prosequi and bilateral agreement involving entry of nolle prosequi, distinguished; claim that ambiguity in agreement between state and defendant must be construed against state. State v. Kelley Violation of probation; probation revocation; certification from Appellate Court; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to revoke defendant's probation because court did not resolve probation violation charge until after defendant's original probation; whether, under probation violation when it revoked defendant's probation erm was scheduled to expire; whether Appellate Court correctly determined that trial court had subject matter jurisdiction when it revoked defendant's probation term until trial court resolved probation violation charge. State v. Killiebrew (Order) State v. Killiebrew (Order) State v. Killiebrew (Order) State v. Norel (Order) State v. Norel (Order) State v. Norel (Order) State v. Norel (Order) State v. Schovance Identity theft third degree; illegal use of credit card; credit card theft; larceny sixth degree; whether trial court improperly denied defendant's convictions violated constitutional prohibition against double jeopardy. State v. Secley Forgery second degree; supervisory authority over administration of justice; claim that waiver rule should be abandoned in context of bench trials; whether state presented sufficient evidence th	Application for pretrial program of accelerated rehabilitation pursuant to statute (§ 54-56e); sexual assault fourth degree; motion to dismiss; certification from Appellate Court; mootness; whether Appellate Court properly dismissed deported defendant's appeal as moot; State v. Aquino (279 Conn. 293), distinguished; collateral consequences doctrine, discussed; whether there was reasonable possibility of prejudicial collateral consequences resulting from trial court's orders terminating accelerated rehabilitation and ordering rearrrest; claim that defendant must evince intention to reenter country in order to raise existence of collateral consequences above mere speculation.	
Violation of probation; probation revocation; certification from Appellate Court; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to revoke defendant's probation because court did not resolve probation violation charge until after defendant's original probation term was scheduled to expire; whether Appellate Court correctly determined that trial court had subject matter jurisdiction when it revoked defendant's probation; whether, under probation statute (§ 53a-31 [b]), issuance of warrant for defendant's arrest for his probation violation interrupted running of his probation term until trial court resolved probation violation charge. State v. Killiebrew (Order)	Larceny third degree as accessory; conspiracy to commit larceny third degree; motion to dismiss; certification to appeal; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to dismiss charges; whether Appellate Court improperly concluded that trial court's factual finding as to parties' intent was clearly erroneous; whether Appellate Court properly reversed judgment of conviction on ground that prosecution of defendant was barred because nolle prosequi that had been entered on larceny charges had been part of global disposition agreement supported by consideration; unilateral entry of nolle prosequi and bilateral agreement involving entry of nolle prosequi, distinguished; claim that ambiguity in agreement between state and defendant must be construed against state.	
State v. Killiebrew (Order)	Violation of probation; probation revocation; certification from Appellate Court; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to revoke defendant's probation because court did not resolve probation violation charge until after defendant's original probation term was scheduled to expire; whether Appellate Court correctly determined that trial court had subject matter jurisdiction when it revoked defendant's probation; whether, under probation statute (§ 53a-31 [b]), issuance of warrant for defendant's arrest for his probation violation interrupted running of his probation term until trial court resolved probation violation	731
State v. Linder (Order)	<u> </u>	909
State v. Morel (Order)		902
State v. Perez (Order)		911
State v. Perez (Order)	State v. Navarro (Orders)	910
State v. Schovanec		908
Identity theft third degree; illegal use of credit card; credit card theft; larceny sixth degree; whether trial court improperly denied defendant's request for jury instruction on third-party culpability and excluded references to third-party culpability from argument; unpreserved claim that certain of defendant's convictions violated constitutional prohibition against double jeopardy. State v. Seeley		
degree; whether trial court improperly denied defendant's request for jury instruction on third-party culpability and excluded references to third-party culpability from argument; unpreserved claim that certain of defendant's convictions violated constitutional prohibition against double jeopardy. State v. Seeley		310
Forgery second degree; supervisory authority over administration of justice; claim that vaiver rule should be abandoned in context of bench trials; whether state presented sufficient evidence that defendant forged signature during purchase of automobile; whether state presented sufficient evidence that defendant acted with intent to deceive. State v. Sinclair (Order)	degree; whether trial court improperly denied defendant's request for jury instruc- tion on third-party culpability and excluded references to third-party culpability from argument; unpreserved claim that certain of defendant's convictions vio-	
that waiver rule should be abandoned in context of bench trials; whether state presented sufficient evidence that defendant forged signature during purchase of automobile; whether state presented sufficient evidence that defendant acted with intent to deceive. State v. Sinclair (Order)	State v . Seeley	65
State v. Skipwith	that waiver rule should be abandoned in context of bench trials; whether state presented sufficient evidence that defendant forged signature during purchase of automobile; whether state presented sufficient evidence that defendant acted with intent to deceive.	
Writ of error; certification from Appellate Court; claim that trial court improperly dismissed plaintiff in error's motion to correct illegal sentence based on violation of her rights under victim's rights amendment in state constitution; claim that this court lacked jurisdiction over writ of error because no express constitutional or statutory provision granted jurisdiction over writ of error seeking to enforce		
	Writ of error; certification from Appellate Court; claim that trial court improperly dismissed plaintiff in error's motion to correct illegal sentence based on violation of her rights under victim's rights amendment in state constitution; claim that this court lacked jurisdiction over writ of error because no express constitutional or statutory provision granted jurisdiction over writ of error seeking to enforce	512

under clauses in victim's rights amendment providing that legislature shall provide by law for enforcement of amendment and it shall not be construed as
creating basis for vacating conviction or ground for appellate relief.
tate v. Snowden (Order)
tate v . Williams (Order)
tate v . Williams-Bey (Order)
J.S. Bank National Assn. v. Nelson (Order)
S. Bank, National Assn. v. Walbert (Order)
Vells Fargo Bank v. Braca (Order)
Vells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Monaco (Order)
Villiam Raveis Real Estate, Inc. v. Zajaczkowski (Order)
Villiams v. General Nutrition Centers, Inc
Wage laws and regulations; calculation of overtime pay for employees who receive commissions in addition to base pay; certified question from United States District Court for District of Connecticut; whether defendants could use fluctuating workweek method to calculate overtime pay under state wage laws and regulations; interpretation of state wage law (§ 31-76c) and state wage regulation (§ 31-62-D4).