

330 Conn.

ORDERS

919

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* JASMINE LAMANTIA

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 181 Conn. App. 648 (AC 40157), is granted, limited to the following issue:

"Did the Appellate Court properly conclude that the evidence was sufficient to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant intended to induce a witness to testify falsely in an official proceeding that she believed to be pending or imminent, in violation of General Statutes § 53a-151 (a)?"

Conrad Ost Seifert, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

Melissa L. Streeto, senior assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided October 3, 2018

SYLVIA N. KUEHL *v.* ROSALIND
J. KOSKOFF ET AL.

The plaintiff's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 182 Conn. App. 505 (AC 38128), is denied.

920

ORDERS

330 Conn.

ROBINSON, C. J., and McDONALD and ECKER, Js., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this petition.

Ridgely Whitmore Brown, in support of the petition.

James J. Healy and *Matthew W. Naparty*, in opposition.

Decided October 3, 2018

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* MONDAY J. ORTIZ

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 182 Conn. App. 580 (AC 39391), is denied.

Alice Osedach, assistant public defender, in support of the petition.

Timothy J. Sugrue, assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided October 3, 2018

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. *v.* ERIC
LORSON ET AL.

The defendants' petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 183 Conn. App. 200 (AC 38806), is granted, limited to the following issue:

"Did the Appellate Court correctly hold that noncompliance with federal Housing and Urban Development regulations is a special defense that the defendant must plead and prove?"

Ridgely Whitmore Brown and *Benjamin E. Gershberg*, in support of the petition.

David M. Bizar, in opposition.

Decided October 3, 2018

330 Conn.

ORDERS

921

KATIE N. CONROY v. AMMAR A. IDLIBI

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 183 Conn. App. 460 (AC 39538), is denied.

Ammar A. Idlibi, self-represented, in support of the petition.

Decided October 3, 2018

DAB THREE, LLC v. LANDAMERICA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC., ET AL.

The plaintiff's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 183 Conn. App. 307 (AC 39834), is denied.

Laurence V. Parnoff, in support of the petition.

Jason A. Buchsbaum, Jonathan S. Bowman and Barbara M. Schellenberg, in opposition.

Decided October 3, 2018

JENZACK PARTNERS, LLC v. STONERIDGE ASSOCIATES, LLC, ET AL.

The defendant Jennifer Tine's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 183 Conn. App. 128 (AC 39880), is granted, limited to the following issue:

"Did the Appellate Court properly conclude that the plaintiff had standing to foreclose on the Tine mortgage because Sovereign Bank had assigned the Stoneridge note to the plaintiff, even though Sovereign Bank did not assign the Tine guarantee, for which the Tine mortgage was collateral, to the plaintiff?"

Richard P. Weinstein, in support of the petition.

Houston Putnam Lowry, in opposition.

Decided October 3, 2018

922

ORDERS

330 Conn.

JENZACK PARTNERS, LLC *v.* STONERIDGE
ASSOCIATES, LLC, ET AL.

The plaintiff's cross petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 183 Conn. App. 128 (AC 39880), is granted, limited to the following issue:

"Did the Appellate Court properly conclude that exhibit 22 was not admissible under the business records exception?"

Houston Putnam Lowry, in support of the petition.

Richard P. Weinstein, in opposition.

Decided October 3, 2018

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* LEE BALDWIN

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 183 Conn. App. 167 (AC 40283), is denied.

Temmy Ann Miller, assigned counsel, and *Daniel M. Erwin*, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

Laurie N. Feldman, special deputy assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided October 3, 2018

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* RAASHON JACKSON

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 183 Conn. App. 623 (AC 40433), is granted, limited to the following issues:

"1. Did the Appellate Court properly hold that the trial court's denial of the motion to preclude the state's late disclosed expert witness and related motion for

330 Conn.

ORDERS

923

continuance was not an abuse of discretion and, even if an abuse of discretion, was not harmful error?

“2. Did the Appellate Court properly affirm the trial court’s exclusion of William Smith’s testimony?

“3. Did the Appellate Court properly conclude that the trial court did not abuse its discretion by admitting evidence regarding the defendant’s failure to appear in court on unrelated criminal charges as evidence of consciousness of guilt in this case?

“4. Did the Appellate Court properly conclude that the defendant had failed to preserve his claim that, pursuant to *State v. Edwards*, 325 Conn. 97, 156 A.3d 506 (2017), the trial court was required to hold a hearing in accordance with *State v. Porter*, 241 Conn. 57, 698 A.2d 739 (1997), before allowing the state’s expert to give expert testimony regarding the defendant’s cell phone location?”

Pamela S. Nagy, assistant public defender, in support of the petition.

Timothy F. Costello, assistant state’s attorney, in opposition.

Decided October 3, 2018

GLENN OZTEMEL v. JONATHAN JAMES MORTIMOR
BAILEY ET AL.

The petition by the named defendant and the defendant Claire Bailey for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court’s order dated July 18, 2018 (AC 41756) is denied.

Maximino Medina, Jr., and *Frances Codd Slusarz*, in support of the petition.

Anthony J. LaBella, in opposition.

Decided October 3, 2018
