

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXIX No. 2

July 11, 2017

276 Pages

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

DeEsso v. Litzie (Order), 326 C 913. . . . .	155
Federal National Mortgage Assn. v. Morneau (Order), 326 C 913. . . . .	155
Maturo v. State Employees Retirement Commission, 326 C 160 . . . . .	2
<i>Administrative appeal; whether defendant State Employees Retirement Commission properly interpreted statutory provision (§ 7-438 [b]) of Municipal Employees' Retirement Act (§ 7-425 et seq.) to bar retired member from collecting pension while he was reemployed by municipality in nonparticipating position; statutory framework of act establishing and governing municipal employees retirement system, discussed; claim that position of mayor of East Haven did not constitute employment and mayor was not employee for purposes of act; claim that § 7-438 (b) evidences legislative intent to preclude member from receiving pension only while reemployed in position designated by town as participating in retirement system; whether statute (§ 7-432 [g]) providing for application to medical examining board for reconsideration of eligibility for disability retirement was applicable to plaintiff's claim; claim that legislature acquiesced in defendant's prior interpretation of act; claim that trial court should have deferred to nonbinding opinion letter of attorney general recommending that defendant not deviate from prior interpretation of act, specifically its interpretation of § 7-438 (b), in absence of further legislative direction.</i>	
Spiotti v. Wolcott, 326 C 190. . . . .	32
<i>Employment discrimination; whether plaintiff's claims previously decided adversely to her by State Board of Mediation and Arbitration pursuant to collective bargaining agreement barred by doctrine of collateral estoppel; whether this court should overrule Genovese v. Gallo Wine Merchants, Inc. (226 Conn. 475); claim that Genovese should be overruled because it relied on legislative history to interpret statute (§ 31-51bb) pertaining to right of employee covered by collective bargaining agreement to pursue cause of action, and legislature subsequently enacted statute (§ 1-2z) requiring courts to interpret statutes pursuant to plain meaning rule; claim that this court should depart from principles of stare decisis and overrule Genovese.</i>	
State v. Baccala, 326 C 232. . . . .	74
<i>Breach of peace second degree; claim that, because evidence was insufficient to support conviction of breach of peace second degree on basis of words that did not fall within narrow category of unprotected fighting words, conviction constituted violation of first amendment to federal constitution; scope and application of fighting words exception to protections of first amendment, discussed; whether words spoken by defendant under circumstances in which they were uttered were likely to provoke violent response.</i>	
State v. Chankar (Order), 326 C 914 . . . . .	156
State v. Henderson (Order), 326 C 914 . . . . .	156
State v. Jerzy G., 326 C 206 . . . . .	48
<i>Application for pretrial program of accelerated rehabilitation pursuant to statute (§ 54-56e); sexual assault fourth degree; motion to dismiss; certification from Appellate Court; mootness; whether Appellate Court properly dismissed deported defendant's appeal as moot; State v. Aquino ( 279 Conn. 293), distinguished; collateral consequences doctrine, discussed; whether there was reasonable possibility of prejudicial collateral consequences resulting from trial court's orders terminating accelerated rehabilitation and ordering rearrest; claim that defendant</i>	

(continued on next page)

*must evince intention to reenter country in order to raise existence of collateral consequences above mere speculation.*

State v. Williams (Order), 326 C 913 . . . . .	155
Wells Fargo Bank v. Braca (Order), 326 C 914 . . . . .	156
Volume 326 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	157

## CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Avery v. Medina, 174 CA 507. . . . .	33A
<i>Injunction; motion for contempt; claim that trial court, in granting motion for contempt, impermissibly modified substantive terms of judgment by converting mandatory injunction into prohibitive injunction that forbade any structure from being constructed in setback, not just permanent structure prohibited by language of restrictive covenant; whether judgment in prior appeal and order of trial court requiring defendants to remove all portions of stone wall within setback were ambiguous; claim that contempt finding deprived defendants of fundamental property right; reviewability of claim that trial court abused discretion by awarding plaintiffs \$1500 in attorney's fees.</i>	
Bank of America, N.A., Trustee v. Chainani, 174 CA 476. . . . .	2A
<i>Mortgage foreclosure; rule of practice (§ 23-18 [a]) permitting proof of amount of mortgage debt by admission of affidavit of debt; standard of review to apply in § 23-18 (a) claims; claim that trial court erred in admitting affidavit into evidence under § 23-18 (a) when defendant disputed amount of debt; whether denial of default and averred insufficient knowledge to admit or deny amount of debt were sufficient to bar affidavit's admission under § 23-18 (a).</i>	
Santos v. Zoning Board of Appeals, 174 CA 531. . . . .	57A
<i>Inverse condemnation; unjust enrichment; whether trial court properly determined that plaintiff failed to prove claim for inverse condemnation; whether plaintiff demonstrated that he had reasonable investment-backed expectation of use of property that was thwarted by defendants' regulations; claim that defendant town had been unjustly enriched by preventing plaintiff from developing property.</i>	
State v. Gansel, 174 CA 525 . . . . .	51A
<i>Larceny in first degree; embezzlement; claim that trial court abused discretion by admitting inculpatory e-mails into evidence; whether admission of e-mails was harmful; whether e-mails were cumulative of other properly admitted evidence that independently provided basis for conviction.</i>	
State v. Holley, 174 CA 488. . . . .	14A
<i>Possession of narcotics with intent to sell by person who is not drug-dependent; mootness; claim that trial court's jury instruction concerning reasonable doubt diluted state's burden of proof; whether there was reasonable possibility that jury was misled by discrepancy between court's oral and written instructions regarding state's burden of proof; whether claim challenging denial of motion to suppress was moot where defendant failed to challenge independent basis that supported decision denying motion to suppress.</i>	

(continued on next page)

## CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
Office of Production and Distribution  
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
[www.jud.ct.gov](http://www.jud.ct.gov)

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

*Published Weekly – Available at <http://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>*

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
MICHAEL A. GENTILE, *Acting Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

State v. Reddick, 174 CA 536 . . . . .	62A
<i>Assault in first degree; criminal possession of firearm; assault in third degree; claim that defendant's constitutional right to fair trial was violated when prosecutor stated to jury that defendant did not inform police that he acted in self-defense; claim that defendant was deprived of right to fair trial when prosecutor expressed opinion about witness' credibility and appealed to jurors' emotions.</i>	
Volume 174 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	101A

#### **NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES**

Social Services, Department of . . . . .	1B
--	----

#### **MISCELLANEOUS**

Small Claims Decentralization . . . . .	1C
---	----

---