## CONNECTICUT LAW



Published in Accordance with General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXIX No. 5

**JOURNAL** 

August 1, 2017

288 Pages

## **Table of Contents**

## CONNECTICUT REPORTS

State v. Acosta, 326 C 405.  Sexual assault first degree; risk of injury to child; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court had not abused its discretion in admitting twelve year old uncharged sexual misconduct evidence; whether uncharged sexual misconduct evidence was too remote and insufficiently similar to be admissible pursuant to State v. DeJesus (288 Conn. 418); public policy concerns justifying admission of prior uncharged sexual misconduct, discussed. Volume 326 Cumulative Table of Cases	3 19
CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS	
Bigelow v. Commissioner of Correction, 175 CA 206	104A
Colonial Investors, LLC v. Furbush, 175 CA 154	52A
Commissioner of Public Health v. Colandrea, 175 CA 254	152A
Dull v. Commissioner of Correction, 175 CA 250	148A

(continued on next page)

In re Luis N., 175 CA 271	169A
right to due process by improperly considering evidence gleaned from ex parte meeting with children in terminating mother's parental rights; whether unpre- served claim was reviewable pursuant to State v. Golding (213 Conn. 233); harm- less error; claim that it was plain error for court to consider evidence gleaned	
from ex parte meeting with children; whether trial court violated mother's right to due process by failing to inform her that she was entitled to receive canvass pursuant to In re Yasiel R. (317 Conn. 773) prior to start of trial when that case	
was not decided until after commencement of mother's trial; whether trial court's finding that mother failed to achieve sufficient degree of personal rehabilitation	
as would encourage belief that, within reasonable time, considering age and needs of children, she could assume responsible position in their lives was supported by clear and convincing evidence; whether trial court improperly concluded that	
termination of mother's parental rights was in best interests of children.	
In re Luis N., 175 CA 307	205A
Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court deprived respondent father of fair trial by meeting with children ex parte, allowing visitation supervisor with Department of Children and Families to attend meeting and failing to make record	
of court's observations of children; whether unpreserved claim was reviewable	
pursuant to State v. Golding (213 Conn. 233); whether, even if trial court's ex	
parte meeting violated father's right to fair trial, any error was harmless; whether	
father could prevail under plain error doctrine when he failed to challenge factual	
basis of judgments terminating parental rights; claim that trial court erred in failing to declare mistrial, sua sponte, after ex parte meeting with children; failure	
to raise claim before trial court.	
Medeiros v. Medeiros, 175 CA 174	72A
Dissolution of marriage; motion for contempt; sanctions; claim that trial court failed	1211
to allow defendant fair opportunity to present defense to motion for contempt;	
whether trial court improperly precluded, on hearsay grounds, defendant from	
testifying regarding statements made to him by parties' child; whether any error	
was harmless; claim that trial court failed to determine that evidence establishing	
finding of contempt met required clear and convincing standard of proof; claim	
that trial court erred in imposing sanctions for defendant's indirect civil contempt;	
whether challenge to trial court's stayed order of incarceration was moot; whether	
claim qualified for capable of repetition yet evading review exception to mootness	
doctrine; whether trial court's stayed incarceration order was punitive; whether trial court abused discretion by failing to consider defendant's ability to pay	
plaintiff attorney's fees and marshal fees; whether defendant waived right to raise	
claim as to fees on appeal; whether trial court erred in imposing compensatory	
fines on defendant without any evidence as to actual damages suffered by plaintiff.	
Northrup v. Witkowski, 175 CA 223	121A
Negligence; recklessness; whether trial court properly granted motion for summary judgment on ground of governmental immunity; whether allegations that defen-	

(continued on next page)

## CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes  $\S$  51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications Office of Production and Distribution 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178 www.jud.ct.gov

 ${\it Richard J. Hemenway}, Publications \ Director$ 

 $Published\ Weekly-Available\ at\ \underline{\text{http://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal}}$ 

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by Michael A. Gentile, Acting Reporter of Judicial Decisions
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

ant town officials fatled to maintain and repair storm drains involved discretionary acts for which defendants were entitled to governmental immunity pursuant to statule (§ 52-557n [a] [2] [B]); claim that genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether town ordinance created ministerial duty; claim that identifiable person-imminent harm exception to discretionary act immunity applied; whether plaintiffs demonstrated that harm alleged was imminent; whether counts alleging recklessness by individual town officials could be maintained as matter of law when record did not support finding that any of individual defendants acted or failed to act with type of wanton disregard that is hallmark of reckless behavior.  Questell v. Farogh, 175 CA 262.  Negligence; whether trial court abused discretion in denying motion to open and set aside default judgment; whether court reasonably could have concluded that plaintiff was not prevented from attending trial management conference as result of mistake, accident or other reasonable cause.  Sanchez v. Edson Mfg., 175 CA 105.  Workers' compensation; whether Workers' Compensation Review Board properly affirmed decision of Workers' Compensation Commissioner denying plaintiff certain disability benefits; whether board properly determined that commissioner's findings concerning cause and extent of plaintiff's disability were supported by sufficient underlying facts; whether board properly found that opinion of medical expert was competent medical evidence on which commissioner properly relied in reaching decision; claim that this court should give less deference to commissioner's credibility determinations where medical examiners did not testify before commissioner; whether board abused discretion in not remanding matter for articulation as to why commissioner disregarded medical opinion of expert chosen by commissioner.  State v. Torres, 175 CA 138.  Murder; carrying pistol without permit; whether first time in-court identification of defendant in nonsuggestive out-of-court p	36A
adequate for this court to determine that in-court identification of defendant was unreliable; whether admission of identification was harmless beyond reasonable doubt.	
Volume 175 Cumulative Table of Cases	235A
SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES	
Summary	1E
NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES	
Airport Authority, Connecticut	10
MISCELLANEOUS	
Judge Trial Referee Designees—Arbitration Proceedings - Trial de Novo Notice of Certification as Authorized House Counsel Office of State Ethics Advisory Opinion Office of State Ethics—Order Regarding Advisory Opinion Opening of Litchfield Judicial District Courthouse at Torrington Revised Notice of Attorney Discipline Small Claims Decentralization	3I 3I 5I 19I 1I 4I 1I