CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

Published in Accordance with General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXX No. 14 October 2, 2018 239 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Bennett *v.* Commissioner of Correction (Order), 330 C 910 42
Dish Network, LLC *v.* Commissioner of Revenue Services, 330 C 280 2
Tax appeal; claim that plaintiff satellite video company's failure to request administrative review of audit pursuant to statute (§ 12-268i) barred subsequent request for refund pertaining to same tax period; whether trial court correctly concluded that gross earnings from sale, lease, installation, and maintenance of equipment were taxable pursuant to statute (§ 12-256 [b] [2]); whether trial court correctly concluded that gross earnings from digital video recording services and payment related fees were not taxable pursuant to § 12-256 (b) (2); whether trial court correctly concluded that plaintiff was not entitled to interest on refund pursuant to statute (§ 12-268c [b] [1]).
Hall *v.* Hall (Order), 330 C 911. 43
Kaplan *v.* Scheer (Order), 330 C 913 45
Murallo *v.* United Builders Supply Co. (Order), 330 C 913. 45
Nichols *v.* Oxford (Order), 330 C 912. 44
Randazzo *v.* Sakon (Order), 330 C 909 41
Saunders *v.* KDFBS, LLC (Order), 330 C 915 47
State *v.* Bischoff (Order), 330 C 912 44
State *v.* Crosby (Order), 330 C 911 43
State *v.* Dubuisson (Order), 330 C 914 46
State *v.* Gerald A. (Order), 330 C 914. 46
State *v.* Holmes (Order), 330 C 913 45
State *v.* Raynor (Order), 330 C 910 42
State *v.* Reservation Services International, Inc. (Order), 330 C 915 47
State *v.* Smith (Orders), 330 C 908, 914. . . . . 40, 46
State *v.* Stephenson (Order), 330 C 908 40
State *v.* Turner (Order), 330 C 909 41
State *v.* Wynne (Order), 330 C 911 43
Zilkha *v.* Zilkha (Order), 330 C 913 45
Volume 330 Cumulative Table of Cases 49

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Adkins *v.* Commissioner of Correction, 185 CA 139 . . . . . 23A
Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; motion to withdraw guilty plea; whether habeas court improperly rejected claim that prior habeas counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to raise claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to advise petitioner with respect to his right to appeal from denial of motion to withdraw guilty plea; whether petitioner demonstrated that nonfrivolous ground for appeal of motion to withdraw guilty plea existed; whether petitioner demonstrated that trial counsel had constitutional obligation to advise him about his right to appeal; reviewability of claim that habeas court improperly rejected claim that prior habeas counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to present evidence in support of claim that petitioner's guilty plea was result of trial counsel's ineffective assistance; whether habeas court improperly rejected claim that prior habeas counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to claim in prior habeas action that trial counsel's conflict of interest resulted in petitioner's guilty plea; whether habeas court improperly determined that peti-

(continued on next page)

© 2018 by The Secretary of the State, State of Connecticut

tioner had waived conflict of interest claim; whether habeas court's factual finding concerning voluntariness of petitioner's guilty plea was supported by evidence in record.

Bongiorno *v.* Capone, 185 CA 176 . . . . . 60A

Contracts; statutory theft; claim that breach of contract count should have been dismissed by trial court for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; claim that plaintiff had no standing to bring breach of contract claim because it was limited liability company, and not plaintiff, that suffered any damages as result of defendant's withdrawal from checking account owned by limited liability company; whether trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over statutory theft claim; whether statutory theft claim should have been dismissed rather than decided on substantive merits; whether plaintiff lacked standing to bring statutory theft claim in his individual capacity; reviewability of unpreserved claim that trial court erred in rendering judgment in favor of plaintiff on breach of contract claim without making conclusions of law regarding applicability of waiver provisions in settlement agreement.

Brochard *v.* Brochard, 185 CA 204 . . . . . 88A

Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying motion for contempt that was based on plaintiff's alleged failure to pay his share of minor children's unreimbursed medical and extracurricular activity expenses; claim that trial court improperly denied motion for contempt in which defendant alleged that plaintiff had violated certain court orders related to mortgage on parties' former marital home; preclusion of claim under doctrine of res judicata; claim that trial court improperly determined that dissolution court had not ordered plaintiff to pay four months of past due mortgage payments and interest; claim that trial court improperly declined to hold plaintiff in contempt for having failed to pay defendant one half of tax refunds he received from individual federal and state tax returns for 2010; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to modify order that allocated parties' obligation to pay guardian ad litem's fees; whether defendant failed to prove substantial change in circumstances since court's allocation of parties' obligation to pay guardian ad litem's fees that necessitated reduction in defendant's 20 percent share of payment of fees; claim that trial court abused its discretion in reducing plaintiff's child support obligation; claim that trial court improperly failed to hear defendant's cross motion for modification of child support; claim that trial court improperly failed to order plaintiff to pay to defendant full amount of past due alimony for 2012.

Errichetti *v.* Botoff, 185 CA 119 . . . . . 3A

Malicious erection of fence; injunction; claim that trial court erred by ordering defendants to restore area to previous condition; whether trial court properly determined that plaintiff was entitled to injunction pursuant to statute (§ 52-480); challenge to trial court's subordinate findings in support of its determination that defendants erected fence maliciously and with intent to injure plaintiff's enjoyment of land; whether trial court's finding of absence of any real usefulness of fence was clearly erroneous; credibility of witnesses; whether trial court erred

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

with respect to finding that fence did not fit with character of neighborhood; whether plaintiff clearly requested restoration relief in complaint; whether there was anything in record demonstrating that plaintiff ever abandoned restoration relief; whether relief ordered by trial court fell within statutory authority conferred by § 52-480; whether trial court's order was vague.

Fredo *v.* Fredo, 185 CA 252 . . . . . 136A

Dissolution of marriage; motion to dismiss; motion for modification of child support; motion for accounting; motion to quash subpoena duces tecum; motion for attorney's fees; subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court improperly granted motion to dismiss motion for modification of child support for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court had subject matter jurisdiction to entertain motion for modification of child support pursuant to applicable statutes (§§ 46b-1 [4] and 46b-86 [a]); reviewability of claim that trial court improperly denied motion for modification of child support; whether claim was moot because there was no practical relief that this court could afford defendant; whether portions of appeal from trial court's judgment disposing of motion for accounting and granting motion to quash subpoena duces tecum were moot; whether trial court abused its discretion by awarding plaintiff $1500 in attorney's fees pursuant to bad faith exception to general rule that prevailing party is ordinarily not entitled to collect attorney's fees from losing party; failure of trial court to make requisite findings in support of its award of attorney's fees.

Knott *v.* Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 185 CA 902 . . . . . 170A

Peixoto *v.* Peixoto, 185 CA 272 . . . . . 156A

Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment modification of alimony; whether trial court abused discretion in granting motion for modification of alimony; claim that trial court, in modifying alimony, improperly construed legal standards set forth in Dan *v.* Dan *(315 Conn. 1); whether it was clear that trial court found that exceptional circumstances existed that warranted modification of alimony award; claim that trial court improperly held that proscription on upward modifications of alimony applied only when parties entered into alimony stipulation at time of initial dissolution; whether, at time of dissolution, trial court gave indication as to purpose of alimony award to plaintiff; whether evidence submitted at modification hearing demonstrated substantial change in circumstances.*

Volume 185 Cumulative Table of Cases 171A

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Connecticut State Dental Commission 1B

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Attorney Reprimand 7C

Office of State Ethics Advisory Opinion 1C