

**JUDGE'S PREARGUMENT CONFERENCE REPORT**

JD-AC-7 Rev. 8-11

Date(s) of conference

To: Staff Attorneys' Office, 231 Capitol Avenue, Hartford, CT 06106

Name(s) of case(s)		Docket number(s)	
Settlement potential & comments	Did this case settle? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If no, is settlement expected? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Explain		
Issue narrowing	As a result of the conference, did the parties agree to narrow or focus the issues intended for presentation on appeal? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No How many issues did the parties intend to present on appeal <i>prior to the conference?</i> ____ <i>After?</i> ____		
Sanctions	Did any of the parties notified fail to appear at the conference? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If <b>yes</b> , and the absence was not excused, list such parties below.		
Waiver of Oral Argument	Is this case an appropriate candidate for waiver of oral argument? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
Transfer	Should this case be transferred to the Supreme Court? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If <b>yes</b> , please list below all criteria numbers from page 2 that apply.		
Signature of PAC Judge		Date	
Transfer approved	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If <b>yes</b> , please list below all criteria numbers from page 2 that apply.		
Signature of Reviewing Judge		Date	

## Transfer Criteria

Transfer of cases to the Supreme Court should be guided by the following criteria:

1. Case of "first impression" - e.g., but not limited to, a claim to recognize a new cause of action or limit a recognized cause of action; a claim to recognize a principle of law not previously recognized in Supreme Court case law or, if recognized, only in dictum but never squarely held or applied.
2. Case asks the court to overrule existing Supreme Court precedent.
3. Case questions established Appellate Court case law not previously considered by Supreme Court.
4. Case raises a difficult question of statutory interpretation (state or federal) or of the Code of Evidence.
5. Case involves an issue of widespread legal or social significance.
6. Case involves some difficult procedural issue.
7. Case involves an issue, procedural or substantive, on which the trial bench has differed and it is important that it be authoritatively settled.
8. Case involves a perceived conflict in Appellate Court case law.
9. Case involves a state constitutional issue not previously decided.
10. Case involves a federal constitutional issue that is not clear that the U.S. Supreme Court has settled.
11. Case involves a difficult question of Indian law.
12. Other (*please explain below*).